

U.C.D. LIBRARY

.

PHYSICAL SCI. LIB.



partment of Water Resources

BULLETIN No. 80-5

RECLAMATION OF WATER FROM WASTES IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Appendixes

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA DAVIS AY 1 3 1976 GOV'T. DOCS. - LIBRARY



MARCH 1976

CLAIRE T. DEDRICK Secretary for Resources The Resources Agency

19

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Governor State of California RONALD B. ROBIE Director Department of Water Resources

ARY

STATE OF CALIFORNIA The Resources Agency

Department of Water Resources

BULLETIN No. 80-5

RECLAMATION OF WATER FROM WASTES IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Appendixes

Copies of this bulletin ot \$2.00 each may be ordered from: State of California DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES P.O. Box 388 Sacromento, California 95802 Make checks payable to STATE OF CALIFORNIA California residents odd sales tax.

MARCH 1976

CLAIRE T. DEDRICK Secretary for Resources The Resources Agency EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Governor Stote of California RONALD B. ROBIE Director Department of Woter Resources

CONVERSION FACTORS

English to Metric System of Measurement

Quantity	English unit	Multiply by	To get metric equivalent
Length	inches (in)	25,4	millimetres (mm)
		.0254	metres (m)
	feet (ft)	.3048	metres (m)
	miles (mi)	1.6093	kilometres (km)
Area	square inches (in ²)	6.4516×10^{-4}	square metres (m ²)
	square feet (ft ²)	.092903	square metres (m ²)
	acres	4046.9	square metres (m ²)
		.40469	hectares (ha)
		.40469	square hectometres (hm ²)
		.0040469	square kilometres (km²)
	square miles (mi ²)	2.590	square kilometres (km²)
Volume	gallons (gal)	3.7854	litres (I)
		.0037854	cubic metres (m ³)
	million gallons (10 ⁶ gal)	3785.4	cubic metres (m ³)
	cubic feet (ft ³)	.028317	cubic metres (m ³)
	cubic yards (yd ³)	.76455	cubic metres (m ³)
	acre-feet (ac-ft)	1233.5	cubic metres (m ³)
		.00 12335	cubic hectometres (hm ³)
		1.233 × 10 ⁻⁶	cubic kilometres (km ³)
Volume/Time			
(Flow)	cubic feet per second (ft ³ /s)	28.317	litres per second (I/s)
		.028317	cubic metres per second (m ³ /s)
	gallons per minute (gal/min)	.06309	litres per second (I/s)
		6.309×10^{-5}	cubic metres per second (m ³ /s)
	million gallons per day (mgd)	.043813	cubic metres per second (m ³ /s)
Mass	pounds (lb)	.45359	kilograms (kg)
	tons (short, 2,000 lb)	.90718	tonne (t)
		907.18	kilograms (kg)
Power	horsepower (hp)	0.7460	kilowatts (kW)
Pressure	pounds per square inch (psi)	6894.8	pascal (Pa)
Temperature	Degrees Fahrenheit (°F)	$\frac{t F - 32}{1.8} = t C$	Degrees Celsius (°C)

FOREWORD

One of the major programs conducted by the Department of Water Resources has been concerned with studying the practicability of increasing waste water reclamation in California.

From the study in Southern California has come an overview report, Bulletin No. 80-5 "Reclamation of Water from Wastes in Southern California," designed to provide concerned citizens, as well as water leaders, with the information needed to help determine the proper role of waste water reclamation in the management of Southern California's water resources.

To carry out the objective of Bulletin No. 80-5, a large amount of data was collected on waste water production, reclamation, and possible uses. For the bulletin, these data were summarized and interpreted.

We recognize, however, that some readers will want more than the summaries and interpretations. For those persons, these appendixes containing the more detailed information have been prepared.

Roused b. Mie

Ronald B. Robie Director Department of Water Resources

CONTENTS

FOREWORD	iii
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES	vi
CALIFORNIA WATER COMMISSION	vi
MEMBERS OF ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR OVERVIEW STUDY IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA.	vii
APPENDIX A. WATER SUPPLY AND DEMAND IN AREA OF INVESTIGATION	1
Description of Area	1 2 2 2 3
South Coastal Hydrologic Area	5
APPENDIX B. WASTE WATER PRODUCTION AND RECLAMATION	7
APPENDIX C. CRITERIA FOR USE OF RECLAIMED WATER	49
Domestic Water Use	49 51 52 52 52 52 52
GLOSSARY OF TERMS	55
1 Area of Investigation	viii
Location of Major Waste Water Treatment Plants in:	
 2Southern Central Coastal Hydrologic Area	10 11 12

...Riverside County Portion of South Coastal Hydrologic Area . 8 14 9 ... South Lahontan Hydrologic Area. 15 10 ... Colorado Desert Hydrologic Area 16 • • . • . . • .

TABLES

1 Area, Population, Land Use, and Precipitation in Southern California	1
2 Projected Water Supply and Demand in Southern California	3
Waste Water Production and Reclamation:	
3 Southern Central Coastal Hydrologic Area	.7
4 South Coastal Hydrologic Area	.8
	24
6 Colorado Desert Hydrologic Area 2	25
Waste Water Treatment Facilities:	
7 Southern Central Coastal Hydrologic Area	26
	27
	34
	36
11Summary	88
12 Comparison of the Major Waste Water Treatment Plants with the	
-	39
Quality of Waste Water Treatment Plant Effluents:	
	39
	۰0
	+7
	8
	50
	51
19 Limits of Mineral Concentrations and Physical Properties of Water	
	53
	54
21 Summary of Effluent and Receiving Water Limitations for	
	i 4
	•

v

STATE OF CALIFORNIA Edmund G. Brown Jr., Governor

THE RESOURCES AGENCY Claire T. Dedrick, Secretary for Resources

> DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES Ronald B. Robie, Director Robin R. Reynolds, Deputy Director

SOUTHERN DISTRICT

These appendixes were prepared under the direction of

Robert Y. D. Chun Chief, Resources Management Section*

by

Jimmy S. Koyasako. . . . Chief, New Water Sources Unit, and Program Manager*

assisted by

Tonnis Tempel	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.Engineering Associate
William Hom	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Assistant Engineer
Ben Loo	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Assistant Engineer
Phyllis J. Yates.		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Research Writer
Mary Sato	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Delineator
Faith I. Zessman.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Varitypist
Melba P. Apante .	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••

with special assistance by

Charles F. Kleine Senior Engineer, Division of Planning Denis G. Rose Staff Counsel I, Office of Chief Counsel

*Kiyoshi W. Mido was Section Chief until May 12, 1974. Previous program managers were Harold W. Leeson, until June 30, 1973, and Joseph A. Daly, until November 11, 1974.

> State of California Department of Water Resources CALIFORNIA WATER COMMISSION

IRA J. CHRISMAN, Chairman, Visalia CLAIR A. HILL, Vice Chairman, Redding

Mal Coombs, Garberville Ray W. Ferguson, Ontario Ralph E. Graham, San Diego

e Clare W. Jones, Firebaugh io William P. Moses, San Pablo iego Samuel B. Nelson, Northridge Ernest R. Nichols, Ventura

Orville L. Abbott, Executive Officer and Chief Engineer Tom Y. Fujimoto, Assistant Executive Officer

MEMBERS OF ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR OVERVIEW STUDY IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Mr. Donald C. Brooks, Chairman Administrative Engineer The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

Mr. George W. Adrian Principal Sanitary Engineer Department of Water and Power City of Los Angeles

Mrs. Diane Cooley Member* California Regional Water Quality Control Board Los Angeles Region

Mrs. Danella S. Cope League of Women Voters of Los Angeles (alternate)

Mr. Ladin H. Delaney Senior Water Quality Control Engineer California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Diego Region

Mr. Franklin D. Dryden Deputy Assistant Chief Engineer County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County

Mr. Carl Fossette General Manager** Central and West Basin Water Replenishment District

Mr. Richard A. Harris
Supervising Water Quality
Control Engineer
California Regional Water Quality
Control Board
Los Angeles Region

Mr. Alfred D. Leipzig Principal Sanitary Engineer Sanitation Bureau Department of Public Works City of Los Angeles Mr. Robert D. Montgomery Regional Manager Region 5 State Department of Fish and Game

Mrs. Royce Neuschatz Vice President Regional Plan Association of Southern California

Mr. Robert R. Nicklen Senior Water Quality Control Engineer California Regional Water Quality Control Board Santa Ana Region


Mr. Wilburn C. Ponder Senior Water Quality Control Engineer California Regional Water Quality Control Board Colorado River Basin Region

Mr. Robert E. Rappleye Sr. Forester III Southern California District Division of Forestry State Department of Conservation

Mr. Arthur W. Reinhardt Assistant Chief Water Sanitation Section State Department of Health

Mrs. Hermalee Schmidt League of Women Voters of Los Angeles

Mr. Robert F. Uhte Chief Design and Construction Division State Department of Parks and Recreation



Appendix A

WATER SUPPLY AND DEMAND IN AREA OF INVESTIGATION

The area of investigation encompasses six coastal counties (San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura, Los Angeles, Orange, and San Diego) and six inland counties (Inyo, San Bernardino, Riverside, and Imperial and portions of Mono and Kern). This area (not including offshore islands, which are not covered in the study) contains approximately 63,500 square miles, or 41 million acres. (See Figure 1.)

Description of Area

The study area is divided into four hydrologic areas: Southern Central Coastal, South Coastal, South Lahontan, and Colorado Desert. This appendix includes a detailed description and the water supply and demand of each hydrologic area. Data on area, population, land use, and precipitation for each hydrologic area are given in Table 1.

Southern Central Coastal Hydrologic Area

The Southern Central Coastal Hydrologic Area encompasses San Luis Obispo and Santa Barbara Counties and a small portion of Ventura County.

The region is largely mountainous with small plains along the coast and the lower reaches of the main streams. The area is drained primarily by four major streams -- the Salinas, Santa Maria, and Santa Ynez Rivers and Arroyo Grande Creek. The major cities are San Luis Obispo, Santa Maria, Lompoc, and Santa Barbara. The economy is primarily based on agriculture, tourism, and recreation.

South Coastal Hydrologic Area

Within the South Coastal Hydrologic Area are Orange County, most of Ventura County, and those portions of Los Angeles, San Diego, Riverside, and San Bernardino Counties that are tributary to the ocean.

Hydrologic area	Area, square miles	Population, 1000's	Urban Iands 1000 acres	Agri cul tu ral lands, 1000 acres	Average annual precipitation inches
Southern Central Coastal	6,100	370	70	130	21.7
South Coastal	11,000	11,000	1,050	480	14.6
South Lahontan	27,000	240	60	100	5.1
Colorado Desert	19,400	230	60	680	3.2
Totals	63,500	11,840	1,240	1,390	

TABLE
AREA, POPULATION, LAND USE, AND PRECIPITATION
IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

The area is characterized by topographic extremes: beaches, coastal plains, fertile valleys, gently rolling foothills, and rugged mountain ranges. The mountains form a wall between the coastal drainage area and the desert regions to the east. Similarly, elevations run to extremes: from zero elevation along the coast to nearly 12,000 feet above sea level at Mt. San Gorgonio in San Bernardino County.

Climate likewise tends to run to extremes: it is greatly affected by the interplay of cool ocean air masses and dry continental, or desert, air. Along the coastal plain, temperatures and humidities usually vary within a comparatively narrow range. Inland valleys in Riverside and San Bernardino Counties tend to have somewhat hotter climates during the summer; the mountains have a four-season climate change. Temperatures throughout the study area range, as a rule, from an average 50°F. during the winter to an average 75°F. during the summer, although at the higher elevations the spread between the highs and lows is generally much greater.

Along the coastal plain annual precipitation averages 14 inches; it ranges from an average of 15 inches in Los Angeles County to 11 inches in San Diego County. In the inland valleys of San Bernardino and Riverside Counties it is about 14 inches, with as much as 10 feet of snow at Big Bear in the San Bernardino Mountains.

The major metropolitan areas are coastal Ventura County, Los Angeles Coastal Plain, Orange County, coastal San Diego County, and the Riverside-San Bernardino area.

The major streams draining the area are the Ventura and Santa Clara Rivers in Ventura County, the Los Angeles and San Gabriel Rivers in Los Angeles County, the Santa Ana River in Orange County, and the Santa Margarita, San Luis Rey, and San Diego Rivers in San Diego County.

South Lahontan Hydrologic Area

This hydrologic area includes Inyo County and portions of Mono, Kern, Los Angeles, and San Bernardino Counties. The area extends from the Mono drainage divide north of Mono Lake to the New York and Providence Mountains on the south.

Economic development includes the mining and processing of minerals at widely scattered locations, aerospace activities, and a limited amount of agriculture in the Antelope Valley.

The area encompasses the communities of Palmdale, Lancaster, Victorville, and Bishop.

Colorado Desert Hydrologic Area

The Colorado Desert Hydrologic Area includes Imperial County plus parts of San Bernardino, Riverside, and San Diego Counties. Its economy is chiefly agricultural: it ranks second in importance only to California's vast, rich Central Valley. Other significant elements in its economy are the many retirement communities and the recreational activities that make use of the Salton Sea and the Colorado River.

The principal communities in the area are Banning, Palm Springs, Needles, Blythe, Brawley, El Centro, and Calexico.

Water Supply and Demand

The water supply of an area is the supply from all sources, including ground, surface, imported, and reclaimed waters. The applied water demand is the gross amount of water needed to meet the intended uses. The quantities of supply and demand for each hydrologic area and the sources are presented in Table 2.

The approximate quality of the waters based on total dissolved solids (TDS) concentrations in milligrams per liter (mg/l) are:

Colorado River	750 mg/1
Owens River	250 mg/1
State Water Projec	t 200 mg/1

The TDS content of the ground waters averages 200 - 1,400 mg/l except in the Imperial Valley where the TDS ranges from 500 to 13,000 mg/l and fluorides from 2 to 5.5 mg/l.

Southern Central Coastal Hydrologic Area

Ground water is the main source of supply in the southern portion of the Central Coastal Hydrologic Area. The water levels in most of the ground water basins are declining, and they will probably continue to do so until economic limits are reached, quality problems develop, and legal restrictions are imposed.

In San Luis Obispo County the TDS content of ground water averages approximately 890 mg/1, while in Santa Barbara County it is approximately 1,100 mg/1. Evidence of excessive chlorides (greater than 1,000 mg/1) has been found in several small basins along the coast in both counties.

These high chlorides, which indicate possible sea water intrusion, have been recorded during periods of extremely heavy pumping in wells; however, the wells do recover after a heavy pumping season.

Water demand for San Luis Obispo and Santa Barbara Counties has been projected to the year 2000. Local water districts have executed contracts with the State for delivery of more than 80,000 acre-feet from the State Water Project by 1990.

Although the needs of San Luis Obispo County as a whole will be satisfied from existing local water supplies and from its entitlement from the State Water Project until within the first decade of the 21st century, local areas along the central and southern coast may feel some shortages as early as 1980.

TABLE 2
PROJECTED WATER SUPPLY AND DEMAND IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
IN LOOD ACRE-FEET

		Dependable Water Supplies									T	
Hydrologic area Y	Year	Local surface water developments	Imports by local water agencies	Ground water safe yield	Federal water develop- ments 2/	State Water Project 3/	Waste water reclama- tion	Desalt- ing	Total	Total net water demand	Supple- mental demand	Reserve supply 4/
Southern	1972	33		190	55	0	5	~	280	310	50	20
Central	1990	33		190	55	87	5		370	420	50	0
Coastal	2020	33		190	55	87	5		370	550	180	0
South	1972	90	1,720	930	20	190	57	0	3,010	3,080	160	90
Coastal	1990	90	940	930	20	2,340	81	16	4,420	3,700	0	720
cousta	20.20	90	940	930	20	2,340	81	16	4,420	4,720	300	õ
South	1972	30		120		34	7		190	280	120	30
Lahontan	1990	40		130		220	8		400	330	3	70
	2020	50	-	130		220	10		410	430	70	50
Colorado	1972	-		74	3,950	14	7		4,040	4,070	40	10
Desert	1990	~		85	3,970	85	9		4,150	4,180	30	0
	2020		-	90	3,970	91	12		4,160	4,300	140	Ō
Total	1972	153	1,720	1,314	4,025	238	76	0	7,520	7,740	370	150
Southern	1990	163	940	1,335	4.045	2,732	103	16	9,340	8,630	83	790
California	2020	173	940	1,340	4,045	2,738	108	16	9,360	10,000	690	50

1/ From Department of Water Resources Bulletin #160-74; Water Demands for D-150 Population Projections

2/ Facilities existing or under construction

3/ Facilities definitely planned for construction and additional conservation facilities authorized to meet contractual commitments

4/ Potential available to certain portions of the hydrologic study area to meet additional water demands; usually not available to other areas of supplemental demand because of a lack of physical facilities and/or institutional arrangements Santa Barbara County is currently experiencing a deficiency in its water supplies to meet its apparent demand, as reflected by the overdrawing of its ground water. It will continue to do so until an imported supply can be brought in. Waste water reclamation is now meeting some of the deficiency.

South Coastal Hydrologic Area

The South Coastal Hydrologic Area is the most populous area and leading industrial and commercial center in the State. Less than 30 years ago its economy was primarily based on agriculture.

Reflecting the increasing urbanization, the total water demand of the South Coast Hydrologic Area, including that for agriculture, is projected to grow from approximately 3 million acre-feet per year at present to more than 4 million acre-feet in 2000.

To meet present demand, the South Coastal Hydrologic Area depends on (1) local surface and ground water supplies; (2) the Los Angeles Aqueduct, which supplies approximately 480,000 acre-feet annually to the City of Los Angeles from the Mono-Owens Valley; (3) the Colorado River Aqueduct, which is supplying more than 1 million acrefeet per year to The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California; and (4) the State Water Project with a total maximum entitlement of 2,204,000 acrefeet per year. By the mid-1980s, the water supply from the Colorado River is expected to be reduced to about 520,000 acre-feet. Even with this reduction, the total supply available to the South Coastal Hydrologic Area should be adequate to meet the water demand until beyond 2000.

However, in certain local areas ground water is being overdrawn. For example, ground water use in Ventura County is currently exceeding the safe yield.

The quality of the ground water ranges from excellent to extremely poor. The

quality of that from San Gabriel Valley, the upper Santa Ana River watershed, and San Fernando Valley is generally excellent and the concentration of TDS is generally below 400 mg/l, reflecting the quality of the runoff from mountain ranges.

The quality of ground water in the Coastal Plains of Los Angeles and Orange Counties shows substantial influence of man, with mineral concentrations about 500 mg/l. Some isolated areas, however, have concentrations exceeding 1,000 mg/l, reflecting the quality degradation from sea water intrusion.

Ground water in portions of Ventura County contains more than 700 mg/l TDS. The quality of ground water in much of San Diego County is generally poor --700 to 1,400 mg/l of TDS concentration.

Sea water intrusion into the West Coast and Central Basins of Los Angeles County is being controlled by a subsurface pressure ridge created by injection of fresh water into the underlying aquifers. This pressure ridge is operated by the Central and West Basin Water Replenishment District. At present, the District is investigating the possiblity of using reclaimed waste water from the City of Los Angeles' Hyperion Treatment Plant for maintenance of the pressure ridge.

Although sea water is intruding in only the east Coastal Plain of Orange County, other small basins are threatened by intrusion if the pumping rate increases. The Orange County Water District is currently completing a project to use reclaimed waste water and desalinated sea water (Water Factory 21) for ground water replenishment by injection into 22 wells.

One of the major places where sea water intrusion has been found is the Oxnard Plain in Ventura County. Currently, salt water has advanced inland about two miles. If the current rate of advancement continues, by 1990 the intrusion front may reach the El Rio spreading grounds in the Oxnard Forebay, which is seven miles inland.

In the Ventura River Valley, high chlorides (1,000 mg/1) are found. Only those in the immediate vicinity of the coast are believed to be caused by sea water intrusion. Those further inland are most likely caused by waste brine from oil fields, by agricultural return flows, and by contributions from saline mineral formations.

Along the San Diego Coast, sea water is intruding or is threatening to intrude in San Luis Rey Valley - Mission Basin and San Diego River Valley - Mission Valley Basin. In addition, there are about 15 small coastal basins where chlorides in the coastal segment of the valley exceed 100 mg/l. A positive determination that sea water intrusion is degrading these basins cannot be made at this time on the basis of data now available.

South Lahontan Hydrologic Area

More water is being extracted from the ground water basins in the South Lahontan Hydrologic Area than is being replenished. Treated waste water is now disposed of to land and does percolate to the ground water basins.

For discussion purposes, the area is divided into the Mono-Owens Valley, Antelope Valley-Mojave River, and Death Valley sections.

The Mono-Owens Valley has adequate water supplies to meet its demand, even during critically dry periods. The Antelope Valley-Mojave River section will continue to be water deficient even with the State Water Project and will probably have to depend on a depletion of ground water in storage for its water supply. Little is known of the safe yield of ground water in Death Valley; the assumption is that ground water extracted from storage will be used to meet the demand.

The quality of ground water in the South Lahontan Area averages approximately 500 mg/l TDS content except possibly in the vicinity of Death Valley.

Colorado Desert Hydrologic Area

The source of water for this area is primarily the Colorado River, where it is a local surface water supply. The use of ground water in Coachella Valley is exceeding the replenishment.

The quality of ground water in the Coachella Valley portion of the Colorado Desert Hydrologic Area is surprisingly good. Dissolved minerals are generally less than 200 mg/1.

The Coachella Valley County Water District, the Desert Water Agency, and the San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency have contracted for a maximum annual entitlement of almost 80,000 acre-feet of State Water Project water. The expectation is that by 2000 the demand will be great enough to require additional supplies. This will probably be met by pumping water that is in storage.

Currently, much of the waste water in the Coachella Valley is treated and used to irrigate crops or to recharge the ground water basin.

The quality of ground water in the Imperial Valley is considered to be unsuitable for domestic and irrigation purposes except for a few isolated places. Therefore, very little ground water is used.

Small amounts of ground water used in the Palo Verde Irrigation District have dissolved minerals in excess of 800 mg/l, reflecting the quality of Colorado River water used for irrigation in that portion.



Appendix B

WASTE WATER PRODUCTION AND RECLAMATION*

In this appendix are presented data on the quantity and quality of waste water produced, on waste water reclamation practices, and on waste water treatment facilities of the 110 major waste water treatment plants in Southern California currently having a design capacity of 1.0 million gallons per day (mgd) or greater. (Data are also given on five plants that are no longer in operation.) Figures 2-10 show locations of the major plants. Data on waste water production and reclamation have been gathered for 1967-73, while the data on the waste water treatment facilities are for the water year 1972-73. (A water year is October 1 through September 30.) Data on the quality of waste water are from the Bulletin No. 130 series or from the Department's files. These data were compiled by each county within the four hydrologic areas into which Southern California is divided and were totaled for each hydrologic area. Waste water production and reclamation data for each of the major waste water treatment plants have been compiled in Tables 3 through 6.


The data and information available on waste water treatment facilities at the major waste water treatment plants for the year 1972-73 are presented in Tables 7 through 10 for each of the four hydrologic areas. Table 11 summarizes information on the plants.

The comparison of the major waste water treatment plants with total treatment plants in Southern California is presented in Table 12. The quality of the effluent from each major waste water treatment plant is presented in tables for each hydrologic area (Tables 13 through 16). Only the mineral parameters (sulfates (SO_4) , chlorides (C1), boron (B), total hardness (TH), total dissolved solids (TDS)), and sodium values -- percent sodium and sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) -- and nutrient parameters (nitrates (NO_3) and phosphate (PO_4)) that more or less control the reuse of waste water are reported.


The waste water quality data presented in these tables represent average values for all analyses available during the indicated water years. All values, except the sodium values, are based upon the weight of water, that is, milligrams of constituent to the weight of a liter of water. (In the English system, milligram per liter is synonymous with parts per million.) Percent sodium is the relationship between the amount of sodium and the amount of all the cations, namely, sodium, potassium, calcium, and magnesium, all of which are expressed in terms of milliequivalents per liter (a milliequivalent per liter is the milligram per liter of a substance divided by the molecular weight of the substance). The sodium adsorption ratio is the relationship between the amount of sodium and the square root of one-half the sum of the calcium and magnesium, expressed in milliequivalents per liter:


$$(SAR = \frac{Na}{\sqrt{1/2 (Ca + Mg)}}) **$$


*See Page 55 for a Glossary of Terms used in this report.
**This formula which was used in Tables 13 through 16, has been subsequently
modified as shown in Table 17, page 50.





c




















TABLE 3 WASTE WATER PRODUCTION AND RECLAMATION¹ SOUTHERN CENTRAL COASTAL HYDROLOGIC AREA

If flow DAF reclaimed 1000 AF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Water vear	Daily flow mgd tation District 0.9 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.2 2.2	Annual flow 1000 AF 1. 1 1. 1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.3	0.8 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.0 0
	1967-68 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72 1972-73 City of Lompoc 1967-68 1968-69	0.9 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.2	1.1 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	0.6 0.8 1.0 1.0
	1967-68 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72 1972-73 City of Lompoc 1967-68 1968-69	0.9 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.2	1.1 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	0.6 0.8 1.0 1.0
	1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72 1972-73 City of Lompoc 1967-68 1968-69	1.0 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.2	1. 1 1.2 1.3 1.4	0.6 0.8 1.0 1.0
	1969-70 1970-71 1971-72 1972-73 City of Lompoc 1967-68 1968-69	1.1 1.1 1.2 1.2	1.2 1.3 1.4	0.8 1.0 1.0
	1970-71 1971-72 1972-73 City of Lompoc 1967-68 1968-69	1.1 1.2 1.2	1.3 1.4	1.0 1.0
0 0 7 0 0 0 2 0 1 0 2 0 2 0	1971-72 1972-73 City of Lompoc 1967-68 1968-69	1.2 1.2	1.4	1.0
7 0 2 0 1 0 2 0 2 0 2 0	1972-73 City of Lompoc 1967-68 1968-69	1.2		
0 0 2 0 1 0 2 0 2 0 2 0	City of Lompoc 1967-68 1968-69		1.3	Δ
2 0 1 0 2 0 2 0	1967-68 1968-69	2.2		v
2 0 1 0 2 0 2 0	1967-68 1968-69	2.2		
2 0 1 0 2 0 2 0	1968-69		2.4	0
1 0 2 0 2 0		2.0	2.2	ŏ
2 0 2 0		1.8	2.0	ŏ
2 0	1970-71	2.0	2.3	ŏ
s 0	1971-72	2.0	2.3	0
	1972-73	2,1	2.4	0
	City of Santa Barba		_	
) 0	1967-68	6 .9	7.7	0
) 0	1968-69	8.0	9.0	0
) 0	1969-70	7.8	8.8	0
) 0	1970-71	7.6	8,5	0
0	1971-72	7.3	8.2	0
2 Ő	1972-73	7.4	8.3	0
	City of Santa Maria			
0.9	1967-68	3.7	4.1	0.8
			4.7	0.8
1 1.0	1968-69	4.2		
3 1.8	1969-70	4.5	5.0	0.5
1 1.9	1970-71	4.5	5.1	0.6
9 1.7	1971-72	4, 5	5.0	1.0
1, 1	1972-73	4.5	5.1	1.0
1	Santa Maria Airport	t		
	1967-68	0.2	0.2	0.2
5 0	1968-69	0.3	0.3	0.3
ů Ö	1969-70	0.3	0.3	0.3
ŏ	1970-71	0.3	0.3	0.3
0	1971-72	0.3	0.4	0.4
		0.4	0.4	0.4
0200	1972 - 73	0.4	0.4	0.4
		0		
	Vandenberg Air For 1967-68	rce Base 1.5	1.6	0
	1968-69	1.6	1.8	ŏ
1 0	1969-70	1.5	1.7	ŏ
· 0	1970-71	1. 4	1.6	ŏ
	1970-71	1.3	1.5	0
0				0
4 0	1972-73	1.3	1.5	U
5 0				
0.3	Total Hydrologic A	rea		
I 0	1967-68			2.7
· · · · ·	1968-69	31.5	35.2	2.7
	1969-70	30.9	34.6	3.4
5 O			34.7	3.8
6 O 0 O				4,1
5 0 0 0 2 0	1972-73			2.8
5	5 0.3 4 0 6 0 0 0	5 0.3 <u>Total Hydrologic A</u> 4 0 1967-68 6 0 1968-69 0 0 1969-70 2 0 1970-71 2 0 1971-72	5 0.3 4 0 1967-68 27.5 6 0 1968-69 31.5 0 0 1969-70 30.9 2 0 1970-71 31.0 2 0 1971-72 30.7	5 0.3 <u>Total Hydrologic Area</u> 4 0 1967-68 27.5 30.7 6 0 1968-69 31.5 35.2 0 0 1969-70 30.9 34.6 2 0 1970-71 31.0 34.7 2 0 1971-72 30.7 34.5

At waste treatment plants with a design capacity of 1.0 mgd or greater
 Includes Cayucos Sanitary District
 Includes Grover City

TABLE 4
WASTE WATER PRODUCTION AND RECLAMATION 1
SOUTH COASTAL HYDROLOGIC AREA

_	Waste wate	er production	Waste water		Waste wat	Waster	
Treatment plant Water year	Daily flow mgd	Annual flow 1000 AF	reclaimed	Treatment plant Water year	Daily flow mgd	Annual flow 1000 AF	Waste water reclaimed 1000 AF
Ventura County				City of Santa Paula			
				1967-68	0.9	1.0	0
Camarillo Sanitary I	District			1968-69	1.3	1.4	ŏ
1967-68	1.4	1.6	1.1	1969-70	1.2	1.4	0.1
1968-69	1.5	1.6	1.1	1970-71	1.4	1.6	0
1969-70	1.8	2.0	1.8	1971-72	1.7	1.9	Ó
1970-71	2,0	2.3	1,8	1972-73	1.8	2.0	0
1971-72	2.3	2.6	2.4				-
1972-73	2.6	2.8	2,2	Simi Valley County	Sanitation D	istrict 5	
				1967-68	1.6	1.8	0
Camarillo State Hos	pital			1968-69	1.6	1,8	0
1967-68	0.3	0.3	0	1969-70	2.3	2.6	0
1968-69	0,3	0.3	0.3	1970-71	2.6	2.9	Ō
1969-70	0.3	0.3	0.3	197 1-72	2.5	2.8	ō
1970-71	0.2	0.3	0.3	1972-73	3.0	3.4	0.1
1971-72	0.2	0.2	0.2				
1972-73	0.2	0.2	0.2	City of Thousand O	aks		
1072 10	012	0.2	0.1	Hill Canyon Plan			
Moorpark County San	nitation Distr	ict		1967-68	3.7	4.2	0
1967-68 ²	0,3	0.1	0	1968-69	4.6	5.2	,0 0
1968-69	0.3	0.3	ŏ	1969-70	3,7	4.1	ŏ
1969-70	0.3	0.4	ŏ	1970-71	3.8	4.3	ŏ
1970-71	0.4	0.4	ŏ	1971-72	4.5	5.0	Ő
1971-72	0.4	0.4	ŏ	1972-73	5.2	5.8	ŏ
1972-73	0.4	0.4	ŏ	10/2-70	0.2	0.0	v
10/2-/0	0.4	0.4	v	Olsen Road Plant	6		
Gak View Sanitary D	District			1967-68	0.1	0.1	0.1
1967-68	1.0	1, 1	0	1968-69	0.1	0.1	0.1
1968-69	0.9	1.0	Ő	1969-70	0.1	0.1	0.1
1969-70	1.3	1.4	0	1970-71	0.1	0.1	0.1
1970-71	1.4	1.6	ŏ	1971-72	0.1	0.1	0.1
1971-72	1.4	1.6	0	1972-73	0.1	0.1	0.1
1972-73	1.6	1.7	0	1972-73	0.1	0.1	U. 1
1972-73	1.0	1.7	U	U. S. Naval Constru	uction Rattali	00	
City of Oxnard				Center, Port Huer			
1967-68	9.6	10.7	0	1967-68	0,8	0.9	0
1968-69	8.3	9,3	0 0	1968-69	0.8	0.9	ŏ
1969-70	8.3 9.6	10.7	ő	1969-70	0.8	0.6	
		11.0	0		0.5	0.9	0
1970-71	9.8	11.5		1970-71 7	0.0		U
1971-72	10.2		0	1971-72	•	•	•
1972-73	11.4	12.8	0	1972-73	-	-	•
				Ventura Regional C	Saunta Conitor	tian	
City of San Buenave		- Facility 2		District – Port H			
Ventura Waste Wa			17		2,2	2.4	0
1967-68	3.5	3.9	1.7	1967-68	2.5	2.4	
1968-69	3.6	4.0	0.1	1968-69			0
1969-70	3.8	4.2	0.1	1969-70	2.3	2.6	0
1970-71	2.9	3.3	1.2	1970-71	2.3	2.6	-
1971-72	3.4	3.8	1.2	1971-72	3.2 3.0	3.6	0
1972-73	4.1	4.6	1.1	1972-73	3.0	3.4	U
Seaside Plant				Los Angeles County			
			•	Los Angeres County			
1967-68	1.6	1.8	0				
1968-69	1.7	1.9	0	City of Burbank		4.7	1.0
1969-70	1.8	2.0	0	1967-68	4.2	4.7	1.0
1970-71	1.6	1.8	0	1968-69	5.2	5.8	1.8
1971-72	1.7	1.9	0	1969-70	5.0	5.6	2.0
1972-73 4	-	•	-	1970-71	4.9	5.5	2.1
				1971-72	5.0	5.6	2.5
				1972-73	4,8	5,4	2.6

1 2 3 4

5 Formarly Senitation Inc., Simi 6 Formarly Venture County Waterworks District No. 6 7 Since May 1971 part of Venture Regional County Sanitation District, Port Hueneme Plant 8 Formarly City of Port Hueneme Plant

At weste water treatment plents with a design capacity of 1.0 mgd or greater Stert-up date Februery 1968 Formerly Eastside Plant Closed permenently January 31, 1974. All flows included in Venture Weste Water Reclamation Facility.

	Waste water production Waste water				Waste wat	er production	Waste water
Treatment plant	Daily flow	Annual flow	reclaimed	Treatment plant	Daily flow	Annual flow	reclaimed
Water year	mgd	1000 AF	1000 AF	Water year	mgd	1000 AF	1000 AF
Las Virgenes Munic	cipal Water Di	strict Tapia Pla		District 32 - Va	lencia Plant		
1967-68	0.6	0.7	0	1967-68	0.1	0.1	0
1968-69	1.2	1.3	Ó	1968-69	0.4	0.4	Ō
1969-70	1.4	1.6	1.6	1969-70	0.4	0.5	Ō
1970-71	1.8	2.0	0	:970-71	0.6	0.7	ŏ
1971-72	2.1	2.4	0.4	1971-72	0.7	0.8	ŏ
1972-73 9	3.1	3.4	0.4	1972-73	1.0	1,1	ŏ
City of Los Angele				Long Beach Plan			
Hyperion Plant	3			1967-68			_
1967-68	325.0	365,0	1.8	1968-69	-	•	•
			3.2		-	•	-
1968-69	346.0	387.6		1969-70	-	•	•
1969-70	330.1	369.8	0	1970-71	-	-	-
1970-71	335.6	375.9	3.8	1971-72		-	•
1971-72	332.0	372.9	5.6	1972-73 11	7.0	3.1	0
1972-73	339.4	380.2	5.6				
				Whittier Narrows			
Terminal Island F	lant			1967-68	16.3	18.3	18.3
1967-68	7.6	8,5	0	1968-69	15.3	17.1	13,9
1968-69	8, 1	9.1	Ō	1969-70	15.4	17.2	17.1
1969-70	8.4	9.5	ŏ	1970-71	17.5	19.6	19.5
1970-71	9.1	10.2	ŏ	1971-72	15.7	17.6	17,6
1971-72	9.4	10.6	ŏ	1972-73	12.6	14, 1	14.1
1972-73	10.2	11.5	0	1372-73	12,0		199, 1
1372-73	10.2	11.0	U	Los Coyotes Pla	nt.		
County Contention F		a Annalan Cau	A		int		
County Sanitation E			nty	1967-68	-	-	-
Joint Water Pollu			•	1968-69			-
1967-68	345.0	386.5	0	1969-70 12	8.4	4.0	0
1968-69	366.5	410.5	0	1970-71	8.6	9.7	0
1969-70	377.1	422,4	0	1971-72	8.4	9.4	0
1970-71	364.5	408.2	0	1972-73	8.3	9.3	0
1971-72	356.4	400.3	0				
1972-73	359.0	402.2	0	San Jose Creek	Plant		
				1967-68	-	-	
District 21 - Pom	ona Plant			1968-69	-	-	-
1967-68	6.2	6.9	6.9	1969-70	-	-	-
1968-69	6.9	7.8	0.3	1970-71	_	-	-
1969-70	9.1	10, 1	0.4	1971-72	30.6	34.4	0
	7.5		0.7	1972-73 13	28.1	31.5	8.3
1970-71		8.4		1372-73 13	20.1	31.3	0.5
1971-72 1972-73	7.9 8.2	8.9 9.2	0.2 0.7	Orange County			
District 22 - Mill				Capistrano Beach	Sonitory Dist	riot	
		0.4	0.4			0.4	0
1967-68	0.3	0.4	0.4	1967-68	0.4		
1968-69	0.4	0.5	0	1968-69	0.5	0.6	0
1969-70	0.4	0.4	0	1969-70	0.6	0.7	0
1970-71	0.5	0.6	0	1970-71	0.6	0.7	0
1971-72 10	0.5	0.4	0	1971-72	0.7	0.7	0
1972-73	•	•	-	1972-73	0.4	0.5	0
District 26 - Saug				Irvine Ranch Water			
1967-68	1.8	2.0	0	1967-68 14	0.3	0.4	0.4
1968-69	2.7	3.1	0	1968-69	0.5	0.6	0.6
1969-70	2.7	3.1	0	1969-70	0.7	0.8	0,8
1970-71	3.0	3.3	Ō	1970-71	1.0	1,1	1, 1
			ŏ	1971-72	1.5	1.7	1.5
1971-72	2.8	3.2	U	(9/1-/2	1,0	1.7	1

TABLE 4 (continued) WASTE WATER PRODUCTION AND RECLAMATION 1 SOUTH COASTAL HYDROLOGIC AREA

9 Includes flows from Mulwood Plant which was out of service during 1973 10 Permenently closed June 1972, Now part of San Jose Creek Plant 11 Start-up date May 10, 1973

-

12 Start-up date May 1970 13 Start-up date July 1, 1971 14 Start-up date October 1967

	Waste water production		Waste water		Waste wat	er production		
Treatment plant Water year	Daily flow mgd	Annual flow 1000 AF	reclaimed 1000 AF	Treatment plant Water year	Daily flow mgd	Annual flow 1000 AF	Waste wate reclaimed 1000 AF	
City of Laguna Bea	ch			City of Seal Beach				
1967-68	1.8	2.0	0	1967-68	1.1	1.2	0	
1968-69	1.9	2.2	0	1968-69	1.0	1.1	0	
1969-70	2.1	2.4	0	1969-70	1.0	1, 1	Ō	
1970-71	2,1	2.3	Ő	1970-71	1.1	1.3	ŏ	
1971-72	1.8	2.0	õ	197 1-72	1.1	1.3	ŏ	
1972-73	2.0	2.0	0	1972-73	1.2	1.3	0	
Los Alisos Water D				South Laguna Sanit				
1967-68	0.1	0.1	0	1967-68	0.5	0.6	0	
1968-69	0.1	0.1	0	1968-69	0.9	1.0	0	
1969-70	0.1	0.1	0	1969-70 17	1.2	1.4	0	
1970-7115	0.2	0.2	0.2	1970-71	2.1	2.4	0	
197 1-72	0.6	0.7	0.7	1971-72	1.5	1.7	0	
1972-73	0.8	0.8	0.8	1972-73	1.6	1,8	0	
County Sanitation E	Districts of Or	ange County		U. S. Marine Corps	Air Station, E			
Plant No. 1			-	1967-68	1.0	1.1	0.6	
1967-68	42.6	47.9	0	1968-69	1.0	1.1	0.4	
1968-69	48.9	54.8	0.2	1969-70	1.2	1.3	0.4	
1969-70	50.1	56.1	1.3	1970-71	1.2	1.3	0.4	
1970-71	49.3	55.2	1.2	1971-72	1,1	1.3	0.4	
1971-72	48.6	54.6	1.3	1972-73 18	-	•		
1972-73	47.0	52.7	1.1	San Diogo County				
Plant No. 2				San Diego County				
1967-68	75.8	85.1	0	City of Escondido,	Hale Avenue	Plant		
1968-69	75.7	84.8	ŏ	1967-68	2.9	3.3	0	
1969-70	78.1	87.5	ŏ	1968-69	3.4	3.9	Õ	
1970-71	86.2	96.6	ŏ	1969-70	3.3	3.7	Ō	
1971-72	92.5	103.9	Ō	1970-71	3.9	4.3	0	
1972-73	102.6	1 15.0	2.2	1971-72	3.5	4.0	0	
Rossmoor Sanitation	n Inc., Laguna	Hills	,	1972-73	4.2	4.6	0	
1967-68	1.0	1.1	0	City of Oceanside				
1968-69	1.0	1.2	0.3	La Salina Plant				
1969-70	1.1	1.2	0.7	1967-68	2.8	3,1	3,1	
1970-71	1.4	1.5	1.5	1968-69	2,9	3,3	1.3	
1971-72	1.5	1.7	1.7	1969-70	2,8	3.2	0.4	
1972-73	1.8	2.0	1.9	1970-71	2.9	3.2	0.5	
				197 1-72	3,2	3.5	0.5	
City of San Clemen	te			1972-73	3.2	3,6	0.5	
1967-68	1.8	2.0	0.3					
1968-69	1.8	2.0	0.6	.San Luis Rey Plan				
1969-70	1.7	1.9	0.9	1967-68	0.8	0.9	0.9	
1970-71	1.6	1.8	1.2	1968-69	0.8	0.9	0.4	
1971-72	1.6	1.8	1.6	1969-70	0.8	0.9	0.1	
1972-73	1.9	2.1	1.4	1970-71	1, 1	1.2	0.2	
				197 1-72 1972-73	1.3 1.5	1.5 1.7	0.2 0.2	
City of San Juan Ca		0.2	0	1372-73		14.7	U. 2	
1967-68	0.2	0.2 0.2	0	Pomerado County W	ater District			
1968-69	0.2			1967-68	0.2	0.2	0	
1969-70	0.3	0.3	0	1968-69	0.2	0.9	0.4	
1970-71	0.7	0.7	0	1969-70	0.9	1.0	0.4	
1971-72	0.8	0.9	0	1970-71	0.9	1.0	1,9	
1972-73	2,0	2.3	v	1971-72 19	1.0	0.8	0,1	
				1972-73	1.0	0.0	0.1	

TABLE 4 (continued) WASTE WATER PRODUCTION AND RECLAMATION ¹ SOUTH COASTAL HYDROLOGIC AREA

 Capacity enlarged to 1.0 mgd March 1971 and to 3.0 mgd June 1974
 Since 1971 includes bypass of Moulton Niguel Water District Plant No. 3-A and since October 1972 all flows of Sente Margarite Since October 197 2 pert of Irvine Rench Water Dietrict
 Since July 1, 1972 pert of San Diego Metropolitan System, Point Loma Plant

è

v

 No. 3-A and since October 1972 all flows of Sente Mergerite Water District
 Capecity enlarged to 3.2 mgd April 1970, Includes since 1970 flows of Moulton Niguel Water District Plants 1-A and 2-A.

20

	Waste wate	er production	Waste water		Waste wat	er production	Waste water
Treatment plant Water year	Daily flow mgd	Annual flow 1000 AF	reclaimed 1000 AF	Treatment plant Water year	Daily flow mgd	Annual flow 1000 AF	reclaimed 1000 AF
City of San Diego			*	Plant No. 2			
Callan Plant				1967-68	0.7	0.8	0.8
1967-68	0.5	0.5	0.1	1968-69	0.6	0.7	0.7
1968-69	0.5	0.6	0,1	1969-70	0.7	0.8	0.8
	0.4	0.5	0.1	1970-71	0.6	0.6	0.6
1969-70							
1970-71	°0.4	0.4	0	1971-72	0.5	0.5	0,5
1971-72 ²⁰ 1972-73	0.4	0.2	0.1	1972-73	0.5	0.6	0.6
				Plant No. 13			
Point Loma Plant				1967-68	0.5	0.6	0
1967-68	80.1	90.8	0	1968-69	0.6	0.6	0.6
1968-69	82.6	92,6	Ō	1969-70	0.6	0.6	0.7
1969-70	83.3	93.3	ŏ	1970-71	0.6	0.7	0.7
	88.8	99.4	ŏ	1971-72	0.7	0.8	0.8
1970-71							
1971-72	88.3 99.4	99.2 111.3	0	1972-73	0.6	0.6	0.6
1972-73	33.4	111.5	0	San Bernardino Coun	ty		
Rancho Bernardo I					<u> </u>		
1967-68	0.4	0.4	0.2	Big Bear City Com	munity Service	s District	
1968-69 21	0.6	0.7	0.5	1967-68	-	-	-
1969-70	0.7	0.7	0.5	1968-69	-	•	-
1970-71	0.9	1.1	0.6	1969-70 23	0.2	0.2	0
1971-72	1.0	1.2	1.2	1970-71	0.4	0.5	0
1972-73	1.0	1.2	1.2	1971-72	0.5	0.5	ŏ
1972-75	1.0	1.2	1.2	1972-73	0.7	0.8	ŏ
County of San Dieg	D						
Encina Plant				California Institu	tion for Men		
1967-68	2,5	2.8	0	1967-68	0.9	1.0	1.0
1968-69	2.9	3.3	ō	1968-69	0.8	0.9	0.9
1969-70	3.8	4.2	ŏ	1969-70	0.8	0.9	0.9
			ŏ		0.7	0.8	0.8
1970-71	4.5	5.0		1970-71			
1971-72	4.9	5.5	0	1971-72	0.7	0.8	0.6
1972-73	6.0	6.8	0	1972-73	0.6	0.7	0.3
San Elijo Plant				Chino Basin Munic	ipal Water Dis	trict	
1967-68	0.9	1.0	0	Carl B. Masinga	le Tertiary Plan	nt, Ontario 24	
1968-69	1.0	1.1	ō	1967-68	9.0	10.1	1.1
1969-70	1.0	1.1	ŏ	1968-69	9.9	11.2	0,9
		1.3	ŏ	1969-70	10.5	11.8	1.1
1970-71	1.1				11.2	12.6	1.0
1971-72	1.2	1.4	0	1970-71			
1972-73	1.4	1.6	0	197 1-72 1972-73	10.6 10.1	11.9 11.3	1.0 11.3
Santee County Wate	r District			1972-75	10.1	11.5	11.5
1967-68	1.3	1.5	1.5	Regional Plant N	10. 2. Chino 25		
1968-69	1.7	1.9	1.1	1967-68	1.3	1.5	1.2
	1.3	1.5	0.3	1968-69	1.3	1.5	0.7
1969-70				1969-70	2.0	2.2	0.8
1970-71	1.6	1.8	0.4			2.2	2.3
1971-72 22	2.0	2.2	0.7	1970-71	2.0		
1972-73	3.8	4.3	0.4	1971-72	2.2	2.5	1, 1 0, 1
J. S. Marine Corps,	Camp Pendla	ton		1972-73	2.5	2.8	0.1
Plant No. 1	Camp renuie			Regional Plant N	lo. 3. Fontana	26	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	1967-68	2.0	2,2	0
1967-68	0.8	0.9	0.9				ő
1968-69	0.8	0.9	0.9	1968-69	2.1	2.3	
1969-70	0.7	0.8	0.8	1969-70	2.1	2.3	0
1970-71	0.7	0.7	0.7	1970-71	2,1	2.4	0.3
1971-72	0.7	0,8	0.8	197 1-72	2,2	2.5	1.1
1972-73	0.8	0.9	0.9	1972-73	2.2	2.5	0.8

TABLE 4 (continued) WASTE WATER PRODUCTION AND RECLAMATION ¹ SOUTH COASTAL HYDROLOGIC AREA

Permanantly closed June 1972. Now part of Point Lome Plant
 Capecity anlarged to 1.0 mgd July 1969
 Since September 1972 bypase of Lekeside Sanitetion District included
 Plant construction completed October 1969; eince June 17, 1970 the District receives pretreated effluent from Big Bear Leke Sanitation Oistrict

Stert-up deta Janusry 1973; incorporates Regional Plant No. 1 (formerly Cities of Ontario and Upland)
 Formerly City of Chino

26 Formarly City af Fostana

TABLE 4 (continued) WASTE WATER PRODUCTION AND RECLAMATION 1 SOUTH COASTAL HYDROLOGIC AREA

	Waste wate	er production	Waste water		Waste wat	er production	Waste water	
Treatment plant Water year	Daily flow mgd	Annual flow 1000 AF	reclaimed 1000 AF	Treatment plant Water year	Daily flow mgd	Annual flow 1000 AF	reclaimed 1000 AF	
City of Colton				Plant No. 2				
1967-68	2.3	2,5	2.4	1967-68	8.1	9,1	0	
1968-69	2,8	3.2	3.0	1968-69	7.8	8,8	0	
	2, 1	2.3	21	1969-70	8.5	9.5	ŏ	
1969-70							ŏ	
1970-71	1.9	2,1	1.9	1970-71	8.3	9.3		
1971-72	2.2	2.4	0.8	1971-72	8.3	9,3	1.1	
1972-73	2.2	2,5	0	1972-73	16.0	16.5	1.5	
Cucamonga County		t 27		Riverside County				
1967-68	0.8	0.9	0 -					
1968-69	2.1	2.4	0	City of Corona				
1969-70	1.3	1,4	0	1967-68	2.3	2,6	0	
1970-71	2.0	2.2	2,2	1968-69	2.4	2.7	0	
1971-72	2.2	2.4	2.4	1969-70	2.7	3.0	0	
	2.3	2.6	2.6	1970-71	2,9	3,2	ŏ	
1972-73	2, 3	2.0	2.0				ŏ	
				1971-72	2.9	3.2		
Kaiser Steel Corpo		а		1972-73 ³⁰	3.0	3.4	0	
1967-68	0.5	0.5	0.5					
1968-69	0.4	0.5	0.5	Eastern Municipal V	later District			
1969-70	0.3	0.4	0.4	Hemet-San Jacint	o Plant			
	0.4	0,5	0.5	1967-68	1.3	1.5	1.5	
1970-71								
1971-72	0.4	0.5	0.4	1968-69	1.5	1.7	1.7	
1972-73	0.4	0.5	0.3	1969-70	1.7	1.9	1.9	
				1970-71	1,9	2.1	2.1	
Norton Air Force B	ase, Plant 126	4 28		1971-72	2.2	2.5	1.5	
		0.1	0	1972-73	3.0	3.4	1,1	
1967-68	0.1			1372-73	3.0		** *	
1968-69	0.1	0.1	0					
1969-70	0.1	0,1	0	Sun City Plant				
1970-71	0.1	0.1	0	1967-68	0.3	0.3	0	
1971-72	0.1	0,1	0	1968-69	0.6	0.6	0	
1972-73	0.1	0,1	Ō	1969-70	0,8	0.9	0	
1072-75	011	01.	· ·	1970-71	0.5	0.5	0	
				1971-72	0.4	0.5	ŏ	
City of Redlands			•			0.5	ŏ	
1967-68	2.1	2.3	0	1972-73	0.5	0.0	U	
1968-69	2,1	2.4	2.4					
1969-70	2.2	2,5	0	Sunnymead Plant				
1970-71	2.4	2,6	0	1967-68 31		-		
1971-72	2,5	2.8	0	1968-69	0.2	0.2	0.2	
	2.5	2.8	ŏ	1969-70	0.3	0,4	0	
1972-73	2.0	2.0	v	1970-71	0.4	0.5	0,5	
						0.5	0.3	
City of Rialto			-	1971-72	0.5			
1967-68	1,7	1,9	0	1972-73	0.6	0,6	0,6	
1968-69	1.8	2.0	0					
1969-70	2.0	2,2	Ō	Jurupa Community	Services Distri	ct		
1970-71	2.0	2.3	ŏ	1967-68	0,5	0.6	0	
			ŏ	1968-69	0.7	0.8	õ	
1971-72	2.1	2.4					ŏ	
1972-73	2.0	2.3	0	1969-70	0.8	0.9	ŏ	
				1970-71	0.9	1.0		
City of San Bernard	dino			1971-72	0.9	1.0	0	
Plant No. 1				1972-73	1.0	1.2	0	
1967-68	6.5	7,3	0.1					
	7 2	8.1	0.2	March Air Force Ba	se.			
1968-69	7.3			Main Plant				
1969-70	8.0	9.0	0.4		0.4	0.5	0.5	
1970-71	8.5	9.3	0	1967-68	0.4	0.5	0.5	
1971-72	7.5	7.7	1.0	1968-69	0.4	0.4	0.4	
1972-73 29	7.5	1.4	0.2	1969-70	0.5	0.5	0.5	
10/2-/0	/10			1970-71	0.3	0.4	0.4	
				1971-72	0.4	0.4	0.4	
				1972-73	0.4	0.4	0.4	

Since July 1971 operated by Chino Basin Municipal Watar District
 Capacity enlarged to 1.1 mgd in 1972
 Plant closed November 30, 1972; all flows now hand ed by Plant No. 2

30 Includes City of Norco since October 10, 1972 31 Stert-up date December 1968

TABLE 4 (continued) WASTE WATER PRODUCTION AND RECLAMATION 1 SOUTH COASTAL HYDROLOGIC AREA

	Waste water production		Waste water		Waste wat	er production	- Waste water
Treatment plant Water year	Daily flow mgd	Annual flow 1000 AF	reclaimed 1000 AF	Treatment plant Water year	Daily flow mgd	Annual flow 1000 AF	reclaimed 1000 AF
West Plant				Rubidoux Community	Services Dis	strict	
1967-68	0.2	0.3	0.3	1967-68 33	0.7	0.7	0.3
1968-69	0.2	0.3	0.3	1968-69	0.9	1.0	0
1969-70	0.3	0.3	0.3	1969-70	0.9	1.0	0.1
1970-71	0.2	0.2	0.2	1970-71	1,1	1.2	0.6
1971-72	0.2	0.2	0.2	1971-72	0.7	0.8	0.1
1972-73	0.2	0.2	0.2	1972-73	0.9	1.0	0
City of Perris				Total Hydrologic Area	1		
1967-68	0.2	0.2	0				
1968-69	0.2	0.3	0	1967-68	1,012.2	1,135.3	49,0
1969-70 32	0.3	0.3	0	1968-69	1,073,9	1,203,5	40.1
1970-71	0, 3	0.3	0	1969-70	1,087.1	1,217.5	39.8
1971-72	0.4	0.4	0.1	1970-71	1,105.9	1,238,5	53.5
1972-73	0.3	0.3	0	1971 -72	1, 132. 3	1,271.1	54.4
				1972-73	1,169.9	1,310.7	70.1
City of Riverside							
1967-68	15.4	17.3	0				
1968-69	15.4	17.2	0				
1969-70	17.6	19.8	0				
1970-71	17.0	19, 1	0				
1971-72	17.7	19.9	0				
1972-73	17.7	19.9	0				

32 Capacity enlarged to 1.0 mgd September 1970

33 Capacity enlarged to 1.2 mgd December 1967

TABLE 5 WASTE WATER PRODUCTION AND RECLAMATION	1
SOUTH LAHONTAN HYDROLOGIC AREA	

	Waste wate	er production		HTDROLOGIC AREA	Waste wat	er production	1
Treatment plant	Daily flow	Annual flow	Waste water reclaimed	Treatment plant	Daily flow	Annual flow	Waste water
Water year	mgđ	1000 AF	1000 AF	Water year	mgd	1000 AF	reclaimed 1000 AF
Mono County				San Bernardino Coun	ty		
Mammoth County Wa				City of Barstow			
1967-68	0.3	0.3	0.3	1967-68	1.0	1,1	0
1968-69 1969-70	0.4 0.4	0.4 0.4	0.4 0.4	1968-69 1969-70	1.5	1.7	0
1970-71	0.4	0.4	0.4	1969-70	1,4 1,8	1.6	0
1971-72	0.5	0.5	ŏ	1971-72	2.2	2.0 2.5	0 0
1972-73 ²	0.8	0.9	ŏ	1972-73	1.7	1.9	0
Inyo County				George Air Force B	lase		
				1967-68	0.8	0,9	0.3
City of Bishop				1968-69	0.7	0.8	0,3
1967-68	1,9	2, 1	0	1969-70	1.0	1,1	0.4
1968-69	1.7	1.9	1, 1	1970-71	0.8	0,8	0,6
1969-70	1.6	1.8	1.1	1971-72	0.8	0.9	0.6
1970-71	1.8	2.0	1.0	1972-73	0.7	0.8	0.3
1971-72	1.4	1.6	0.9				
1972-73	1.0	1.2	0.6	Lake Arrowhead Sa			
				1967-68	0.4	0.4	0
Kern County				1968-69	0.6	0.6	0
				1969-70	0.5	0.5	0
Edwards Air Force B		1 1	~	1970-71	0.5	0.5	0.1
1967-68	1.0	1.1	0	1971-72	0.5	0.5	0
1968-69	1.0	1.1	0	1972-73	0.6	0.7	0.2
1969-70	1.2	1.3	0				
1970-71	1.2 1.5	1.3 1.7	0	U. S. Army, Fort In		0.5	0.5
1971-72			0	1967-68	0.5	0.5	0.5
1972-73	1.3	1.5	0	1968-69	0.4	0,4	0.4
Dideserent Conitatio	District			1969-70 1970-71	0.5	0.5	0.5
Ridgecrest Sanitation		0.7	0.7		0.3	0.3	0.3
1967-68	0.6 0.6		0.7	1971-72	0.2	0.2	0
1968-69	0.8	0.7 0.8	0.7 0.8	1972-73	0.1	0.1	U
1969-70 1970-7 1	0.7	0.8	0.8	LL & Marina Come	Europhy Conto	. Devetour	
1971-72	0.8	0.9	0.9	U. S. Marine Corps Nebo Plant	Suppry Cente	r, barstow	
1972-73	0.8	0.9	0.9	1967-68	0,3	0.4	0.1
1972-73	0.0	0.5	0.9	1968-69	0.3	0.4	0.2
U. S. Naval Ordnanc	o Tost Statio	n China Lake		1969-70	0.3	0.4	0.2
1967-68	1.5	1.7	0,6	1970-71	0.7	0.8	0.2
1968-69	1.7	1.9	0.7	1971-72	0.4	0.5	0.1
1969-70	1.6	1.8	0,8	1972-73	0.5	0.5	Ö
1970-71	1.6	1.8	0.7	1072-70	0.0	0.0	Ū
1971-72	1.7	1.9	0.7	Yermo Plant			
1972-73	1,6	1,8	0.6	1967-68	0.2	0,2	0
.012 / 0				1968-69	0.2	0.2	ō
Los Angeles County				1969-70	0.3	0.3	Ō
				1970-71	0,2	0.2	ŏ
County Sanitation Di	stricts of Lo	s Angeles Cour	ntv	1971-72	0.2	0.2	0
District 14, Lanca			·	1972-73	0.1	0.1	ŏ
1967-68	3.2	3,6	0				
1968-69	3.4	3.8	0	Victorville Sanitar	y District		
1969-70	3.4	3,8	0, 1	1967-68	0.6	0.7	0
1970-71	3.4	3,9	0.3	1968-69	0.7	0.7	0
1971-72	3.6	4.0	0.4	1969-70	0.7	0.8	0
1972-73	4.0	4.4	0.5	1970-71	0.7	0.8	0
				1971-72	0.7	0.8	0
District 20, Palmd				1972-73	0.8	0.9	0
1967-68	1.0	1.2	0.4				
1968-69	1.1	1.2		Total Hydrologic Area			
1969-70	1.1	1.2	0.4			45.1	
1970-71	1.3	1.4	0.4	1967-68	13.5	15.1	2.9
1971-72	1.3	1.5	0.6	1968-69	14.5	15.9	4,1
1972-73	1.6	1,7	0.6	1969-70	14.8	16.5	4.7
				1970-71	15.7	17.3	4.4
U. S. Air Force Plant				1971-72	16.6	18.6	4.2
1967-68	0.2	0.2	0	1972-73	15.8	17.6	3.7
1968-69	0.2	0.2	0				
1969-70	0.1	0.2	Ŭ				
1970-71	0.2	0.2	0				
	0.8	0.9	0				
1971-72 1972-73	0.2	0.2	Ö				

1 At weste weter treatment plants with a design cepacity of 1.0 mgd or greater

2 Capacity enlarged to 1.5 mgd August 1973

e*

TABLE 6	
WASTE WATER PRODUCTION AND RECLAMATION	1
COLORADO DESERT HYDROLOGIC AREA	

	Waste water p				Waste wat	Waste water production		
Treatment plant	Daily flow	Annual flow	Waste water reclaimed	Treatment plant	Daily flow	Annual flow	- Waste water reclaimed	
Water year	mgd	1000 AF	1000 AF	Water year	mgd	1000 AF	1000 AF	
Imperial County				Riverside County				
City of Brawley				City of Banning			_	
1967-68	1.2	1.3	0	1967-68	0.4	0.5	0	
1968-69	1.3	1.4	0	1968-69	0.5	0.5	0	
1969-70	1.3	1.5	0	1969-70	0.5	0.6	0	
1970-71	1.2	1.4	0	1970-71	0.6	0.6	0	
1971-72	1.2	1.3	0	1971-72	0.6	0.7	0	
1972-73	1.3	1.5	0	1972-73	0.5	0.6	0	
City of Calexico				City of Blythe				
1967-68	1.0	1.1	0	1967-68	0,6	0.6	0	
1968-69	0.6	0.7	0	1968-69	0.9	1.0	0	
1969-70	0.6	0.7	0	1969-70	0.9	1,0	Õ	
1970-71	0.5	0.6	õ	1970-71	0.9	1.0	ŏ	
197 1-72	0.6	0.7	ŏ	1971-72	0.8	0.9	ŏ	
1972-73	0.4	0.5	ŏ	1972-73	0.7	0.7	ŏ	
1972-75	0.4	0.5	Ū	1372-73	0.7	0.7	Ū	
City of El Centro	* •	0.4	•	Coachella Sanitary			~ ~	
1967-68	1.9	2.1	0	1967-68	0.8	0.9	0,8	
1968-69	2.0	2.3	0	1968-69	0.7	0.8	0.8	
1969-70	2.0	2.2	0	1969-70	0.7	0.8	0.8	
1970-71	2,7	3.0	0	1970-71	0.7	0.8	0.8	
1971-72	3.2	3.6	0	1971-72	0.7	0.8	0.6	
1972-73	3.4	3.8	0	1972-73 ³	0.8	0.9	0.2	
City of Imperial				East Blythe County V	ater District			
1967-68	0.6	0.7	0	1967-68	0.2	0.2	0.1	
				1968-69	0.3			
1968-69	0.7	0.7	0			0.3	0.2	
1969-70	0.7	0.7	0	1969-70	0.4	0.4	0	
1970-71	0.6	0.7	0	1970-71	0.4	0.4	0	
1971-72 1972-73	0.7 0.6	0.8 0.6	0	197 1-72 1972-73 4	0.3 0.2	0.3 0.3	0	
			-					
U. S. Naval Air Fac		ro	0	Kaiser Steel Corporat			0.5	
1967-68	0.2	0.2	0	1967-68	0.5	0.5		
1968-69	0.2	0.2	0	1968-69	1,6	1.8	0.7	
1969-70	0.3	0.3	0	1969-70	1.6	1.8	0.7	
1970-712	0.6	0.7	0	1970-71	1.3	1.5	0.5	
1971-72	0.3	0.3	0	1971-72	1.4	1.6	0.8	
1972-73	0.3	0.3	0	1972-73	2.3	2,6	1.6	
San Bernardino County	,			City of Palm Springs				
Call Bolliarance County	-			1967-68	2.2	2,5	0,9	
City of Needles				1968-69	2,4	2,7	0.9	
1967-68	0.6	0.7	0	1969-70	2,4	2.7	0.9	
1968-69	0.8	0.9	ŏ	1970-71	2,5	2.8	1.0	
			0		2,8	3.1	1.5	
1969-70	1.0	1.1	0	1971-72	40 2 A	2 /	1.0	
1970-71	0.6	0.6	0	1972-73	3.0	3.4	0.6	
1971-72	0.6	0.7	0	Malley Casters Dist				
1972-73	0.9	1.0	0	Valley Sanitary Distr	2,7	3, 1	0.9	
LL C Marine Care	Doog Twont	nino Bolmo Di-	•	1967-68			0.9	
U. S. Marine Corps	Dase, Iwenty		n. 0.6	1968-69	2.7	3.0		
1967-68	1.2	1.3	0.6	1969-70	3.5	3.9	1.0	
1968-69	1.3	1.4	0.4	1970-71	3.0	3.4	1.5	
1969-70	1.2	1.3	0.9	1971-72	3,3	3.8	1.5	
1970-71	1.2	1.3	0.9	1972-73	3,6	4.0	1.0	
1971-72	0.6	0.7	0.3					
1972-73	0.3	0.3	0	Total Hydrologic Area			• •	
				1967-68	14. 1	15.7	3.8	
				1968-69	16.0	17.7	3, 4	
				1969-70	17, 1	19.0	4,3	
					16.8	18.8	4.7	
				1970-71	10.0	10.0	···· /	
				1970-71	17.1	19.3	4.7	

1 At waste weter treatment plants with a design capacity of 1.0 mgd or greater 2 Capacity enlarged to 1.0 mgd during 1970

3 Capacity enlarged to 1.5 mgd January 1973 4 Capacity enlarged to 1.5 mgd September 1967

TABLE 7 WASTE WATER TREATMENT FACILITIES* SOUTHERN CENTRAL COASTAL HYDROLOGIC AREA

			-			
Discharger	Popula- tion served (1000's)	Design capa- city (mgd)	Type of waste water	Treatment facilities	Place of dis- charge	Uses of reclaimed waste water
San Luis Obispo	County					
California Men's Colony	10, 3	2.5	Municipel, industrial	Secondary: bar screen, comminutor, primary clarifier, trickling filters, grit chamber, final clarifier, chlorination facilities, and digester.	Chorro Creek	Crop irrigation
Morro Bay, City of ^{{1}) 10.0	1.7	Municipal, industrial	Secondary: ber screen, primary clarifiers, trickling filters, final clarifiers, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Pacific Dcean	None
Paso Robles, City of	7.5	2. 2	Municipal, industrial	Secondary: bar screen, comminutor, pre- chlorination facilities, pre-aeretion tank, primary clarifier, trickling filter, final clari- fier, oxidation ponds, chlorination facilities, digaster, and sludge beds.	Selinas River	None
San Luis Dbispo City of	44. 5	5.0	Municipal, industrial	Secondary: barminutor, grit chamber, pri- mary clarifiers, trickling filters, final clarifier, oxidation ponds, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	San Luis Obispo Creek	Crop irrigation
South San Luis ⁽²⁾ Obispo County Sanitation District	14.5	2 5	Muni ci pa)	Secondary: comminutor, primary clarifier, aeration tanks, final clarifier, chlorination facilities, digestar, end sludge beds.	Pacific O cean	None
Santa Barbara Co	ounty					
Carpinteria Sanitary District	9.0	20	Municipal	Secondary: comminutor, primary clarifier, trickling filters, final clarifiers, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Pacific Ocean	Industrial use within plant
Golete Sanitary District	620	10. 5	Municipal	Primary: bar screen, comminutor, eerated grit chamber, sedimentation tanks, chlorination facilities, digesters, end sludge beds.	Pacific Ocean	Landacape Irrigation
Laguna County Sanitation District	66	1. 4	Municipal	Secondary: barninutor, primary clarifler, trickling filter, final clarifler, oxidation ponds, digester, and sludge beds.	Pacific Oceen	None
Lompoc, City of	26.0	1. 8	Muni Cipal	Secondary: barminutor, primary clarifier, trickling fliters, final clarifier, oxidation pond, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Santa Ynez River	None
Santa Barbara City of	71.4	8.0	Municipal	Primary: berminutor, sedimentation tanks, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge centrifuge.	Pacific O cean	None
Santa Maria, City of	35.0	6.5	Municipal, industrial	Secondary: pre-chlorination facilities, bar screen, primary clarifiers, trickling filters, final clarifiers, chlorination facilities, per- coletion ponds, digesters, end sludga beds.	Land	Crop irrigation
San ta Maria Alrport	5.0	1.0	Municipal, industrial	Secondary: bar screen, grit chamber, pri- mary clerifier, trickling filters, finel clarifier, chlorination fecilities, digester, and sludge beds	Lend	Crop irrigation
Vandenberg Air Force Base	14.0	3.5	Municipel	Secondary: barminutor, grit chamber, primary clarifier, trickling filters, final clarifier, digesters, and sludge beds.	Pacific Ocean	None

* Plants with a design capacity of 1.0 mgd or graater

111 Includes Cayucos Sanitary District

(2) Includes Grover City

	Denute			AL HYDROLOGIC AREA	Dia	
	Popula- tion	Design	Type of		Place	Uses of
Discharger	served	capa-		Treatment facilities	of	reclaime
	(1000's)	city (mgd)	waste water		dis- charge	waste water
Ventura County		(ingut]	th d ter		enarge	<u> </u>
Camarillo Sanıtary District	, 29.0	4.8	Municipat	Secondary: communutor, primary clarifiers, aeration tanks, final clarifiers, holding ponds, sand filter, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Conejo Creek	Cropend landscepe irrigation
Camarillo State Hospital	⊶ 4. 0	3.0	Muni cipał	Secondary: bar screen, comminutors, grit chamber, primary clarifiers, trickling filters, final clarifiers, holding pond, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Land	Crop irrigation
Moorpark County Sanitation Distr	5.0 ict	10	Municipal industrial	Secondary: septic tank, aerators, oxida- tion ponds, air flotation tank, multi-media filters, chlorination facilities, and sludge bads.	Arroyo Simi	None
Oak View Sanitary District	, 23.0	3.0	Municipal	Secondary: barminutor, primary clarifiers, trickling filtars, aeration tank, final clarifiers, holding pond, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Ventura River	Landscape irrigation, industrial
Oxnard, City of	84.0	25.0	Municipal, industrial	Primary: communitor, serated grit chamber, sadimentation tanks, chlorination facilities vacuum filters, and incinerator	Pacific Ocean	Nona
San Buenaventura, City of						
Ventura Wastewater Reclamation Facility (1)	54.0	14.0	Municipa,	Secondaty: barminutor, degritter, primary clarifier, trickling filters, aeration tank, final clarifiers, surga ponds, chlorination facilities, and mixed-media filters	Lend	Landscape and golf course irrigation, recreation
Seaside Plant	(2)	2. 2	Municipal industrial	Primary: ber screen, comminutor, sedimenta- tion tanks, chlorination facilities, digesters, and eludge beds.	Pacific Ocean	None
Santa Paula, City of	18.6	24	Municipal	Secondary: comminutors, grit chamber, primary clarifiers, trickling filters, final clarifier, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Santa Clara River	Crop and landscape irrigation, industrial
Simi Valley (3) County Sani- tation District	43.6	4.4	Municipal	Secondary: bar screen, comminutor, primary clarifiar, aeration tank, final clarifier, holding tank, chlorination lacilities, digester, and sludga beds.	Arroyo Simi	None
Thousand Oaks, City of						
Hill Canyon Plant	60.0	10.0	Municipal industrial	Secondary: bar screen, comminutors, grit chamber, primary clarifiers, aeration tanks, final clarifiers, holding pond, chlorination lacilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Conajo Creek	None
Olsen Road (4) Plant	0.7	1.5	Municipel	Secondary bar screen, comminutor, aaration tank, final clarifier, holding tank, chlorination facilities, digester, and eludge beds.	L and	Golf course irrigation
U. S. Naval Con- struction Battatic Centar, Port Hue		3.0	Municipal	Primary: bar screens, grit chambers, Imhoff tanks, sedimentation tank, and sludge beds.	Pacific Ocean	None

Plants with a design capacity of 1.0 or greater

(1) Formarly: Eastside Plant

(2) Closed permanently January 31, 1974. All flows being handled

by Ventura Wastewater Reclamation Facility

(3) Formerly: Sanitation Inc., Simi

(4) Formerly: Ventura County Waterworks District No. 6

(5) Since May 1971 part of Ventura Regional County Sanitation District, Port Hueneme Plant

TABLE 8 (Continued) WASTE WATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

SOUTH COASTAL HYDROLOGIC AREA										
Discharger	Popula- tion served (1000's)	Design capa- city (mgd)	Type of waste water	Treatment facilities	Place of dis- charge	Uses of reclaimed waste water				
Ventura County	y_(Continu	ed)								
Ventura Regional County Sanitatic District	n									
PortHueneme Plant (6)	23.0	4.0	Municipei, industriel	Primary: beminutor, grit chamber, sedi- mentation tank, chlorinetion facilities, digester, and incinerator.	Pecific Ocean	None				
Los Angeles C	ounty									
Burbank, City of	48,0	6.0	Municipal, industrial	Secondary: bar screen, comminutor, primary clarifiers, aeration tanks, final clarifiers, and chloringtion facilities	Burbank Western Channel	Municipal steam gener- ating station cooling water				
Las Virgenes Mun ipal Weter Distr										
Tapia Plant	40.0	8.0	Municipal	Secondary: comminutor, primary clerifier, aeretion tanks, final clarifiers, storage pond, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	L and	Crop and land- scape irrigatio				
Los Angeles City of										
Hyperion Plan	at 3,000.0	4 20.0	Municipal, industriel	Primary: bar screens, comminutors, eerated grit chambers, sedimentation tanks, chlorinetion facilities, and digesters.	Senta Monica Bay	Landscape irrigetion and industrial use within plent				
		150.0	Muni cip al	Secondary: bar screens, comminutors, aerated grit chembers, primery clarifiers, aeration tanks, final clarifiers, chlorinetion facilities, and digesters.						
Terminal Isla Plant	nd 110.0	14.0	Municipal, industrieł	Primary: bar screens, aerated grit chambers, sedimentation tanks, digesters, and sludge beds.	Pacific Ocean	None				
Los Angeles Cour County Sanitatio Districts of										
Joint Water Pollution Control Plan	2.821.6	450.0	Municipal, industrial	Primary: ber screens, comminutors, aerated grit chambers, sedimentation tanks, chlori- nation facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Pacific O cean	None				
Oistrict 21 Pomona Plar	74,9 Dt	9.5	Municipal	Secondary: primary clerifiers, aeration tanks, final clarifiers, holding pond, end chlorination facilities.	San Jose Creek	Crop and land scape irrigatio edvenced treat ment research				
District 22- Miller Plant	(7) (7)	1.0	Industriel	Secondary: ber screen, primary clerifiers, trickling filters, and final clerifiers.	Pacific Ocean	None				
District 26- Saugus Plan	31.7 1t	5.0	Municipel, industriel	Secondary: ber screen, comminutor, primary clarifiers, aeration tenks, final clerifiers, chlorination facilities, and digesters.	Sante Clara River	None				
District 32 Valencia Pl	5.0 ant	1.5	n Municipal	Secondary: bar screen, comminutor, primery clerifiers, aeration tanks, final clerifiers, chlorination facilities, and digesters.	Santa Clera River	None				
Long Beach P	lant 118.4	12.5	Municipal, industrial	Secondary: primery clerifiers, aeretion tenks, final clerifiers, and chlorination facilities.	Pecific Ocean	None				

(6) Formerly: City of Port Hueneme (7) Permenently closed June 1972. Now part of San Jose Creek Plant

	Popula-	Design	Туре	AL HYDROLOGIC AREA	Place	Uses of
Discharger	tion served	capa- city	of wasie	Treatment facilities	of	reclaimed
	(1000's)	(mgd)	waster		dis- charge	waste water
Los Angeles C	County (Co	ntinued)				
Los Angeles Cou County Sanitati Districts of (co	ion					
Los Coyotes Plant	94.8	125	Municipal, industriel	Secondary: primary clarifiers, aeration tanks, final clerifiers, and chlorination facilities.	San Gab- rial River	None
San Jose Cre Plent	ek 294.0	37.5	Municipal, industriel	Secondary: primery clerifiers, eeration tanks, final clerifiers, and chlorination facilities.	San Gab- riel River	Ground water recharge
Whittier Nam Plant	ows 133.1	125	Municipal, industrial	Secondary: primary clarifiers, eeration tanks, final clarifiers, end chlorination facilities -	Land	Ground water recharge
Orange County	<u>v</u>					
Capistrano Beach Sanitary Distric		1.0	Municipal, industrial	Secondary: primary clarifiers, trickling filter, final clarifier, chlorination facilities, digester, end sludge beds.	Pacific Ocean	None
Irvine Rench Wet District	er (9) 25.0	5.0	Municipal, industrial	Secondary: bar screen, barminutor, aerated grit chamber, primary clarifiers, aeration tanks, final clarifiers, chlori- nation facilities, and sludge beds.	Sand Canyon Reservoir	Crop, langsca and golf cours prrigation
Lagun & Beach, City of	24.0	5.0	Municipal	Primary: comminutor, pre-aeration tank, sedimentation tanks, aeration tanks, chlorination facilities, end digesters.	Pacific Ocean	None
Los Alisos Water District	8.0	3.0	Municipal	Secondary: Bar screen, comminutor, aerated grit chamber, surge basin, aeration basin, sedimentation basin, oxidation ponds, chlori- nation facilities, digesters and sludge beds.	Land	Crop irngation
Orange County, C Sanitation Dist						
Plant No. 1	500.0	46.0	Municipal, industrial	Primary: bar screens, aerated grit chambers, primary clarifiers, chlorination facilities (at Plant No. 2), digesters, and sludge beds.	Pacıfic Ocean	Landscape irrigation and industrial use within plant
		15.0		Secondary: (in addition to primary) trickling filters and final clarifiers.		
Plant No. 2	900.0	126.0	Municipal, Industrial	Primary: bar screens, aerated grit chambers, sedimentation tanka, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Pacific Ocean	Landscape irrigation and industrial use within plant
Rossmoor Sanitati Inc., Leguna Hi		22	Muni cipal	Secondary: ber screan, comminutor, primary clarifier, aeration tank, final clarifiers, holding pond, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Land	Crop end golf course irrigation
San Clemente, City of	19.5	4.0	Municipel, industrial		Pacific Ocean	Landscape and golf course irrigation
Sen Juan (10) Capistrano, City	30.0 yof	6.0	Municipal		Pacific Ocean	None

(8) Handles City of San Clamente's overflow

(9) Tartiery demonstration project recently successfully completed
 (10) Includes since 1971 bypass of Moulton Niguel Water District Plant
 No. 3-A and since and October 1972 all flows of Santa Mergerita Weter District

			I COASTA	L HYDROLOGIC AREA		
Discharger	Popula- tion	Design capa-	Type of	Treatment facilities	Place of	Uses of reclaimed
	served (1000's)	city (mgd)	waste water		dis- charge	waste water
Orange County	(Continue	ed)				
Seal Beach, City (of 10.0	1.5	Municipal	Secondary: barminutor, pre-aaration tank, primary clarifiar, trickling filter, final clarifier, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge bads.	San Gabrie River estuary	I None
South Laguna (11 Sanitary District		3. 2	Municipal	Secondary; bar screen, comminutor, primary clarifiars, aeration tanks, final clarifiers, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Pacific Ocean	None
U.S.Marine (12) Corps Air Statio El Toro		1.5	Municipal	Secondary; bar screen, comminutor primary clarifier, trickling filters, final clarifiars, chlorination facilities, digester, and sludge beds.	San Diego Creek	Golf course irrigation
San Diego Cou	nty					
Escondido, City o	f					
Hale Avenue Plant	44. 8	4.0	Municipal	Secondary: bar screen, barminutor, primary clarifiers, aeration tanks, final clarifiers, chlorination facilities, digester, and sludga beds.	Pacific Ocaan	None
Oceanside, City o	f					
La Salina Plan	t 31.4	5.5	Municipal, industrial	Secondary: ber screen, comminutor, primary clarifiers, aaration tanks, final clarifier, chlorination facilities, and digasters.	San Luis Rey River	Cropirriga- tion, ground water recha
San Luis Rey Plant	13, 3	1.8	Municipal	Secondary: bar screen, comminutor, aerated grit chamber, sedimentation tanks, oxidation ponds, chlorination facilities, and digester.	San Luis Rey River	Crop irriga- tion, ground water recha
romarado County Watar District (1	13)	1. 1	Muni cip al	Secondary; bar scraens, comminutor, primary clarifiers, trickling filters, final clerifiar, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Los Pen- asquitos Creek	Crop Trrigation
San Diego, City o	f					
Callan Plant	(14)	1.0	Municipal, Industrial	Secondary: bar screen, primary clarifier, trickling filter, final clarifier, oxidation ponds, chlorination facilitias, and digestars.	Land	Landscape irrigation
Point Loma Pla	ant 1,000.0	88.0	Municipal, Industriai	Primary: bar screen, aerated grit cham- bers, sadimentation tanks, and digesters.	Pacific Ocean	None
Rancho Bernard Plant	10 120	1.0	Municipal	Secondary: bar screen, comminutor, aeration tanks, sadimentation tank, oxidation ponds, chlorination facilities, digester, and sludge beds.	Land	Crop irrigation
San Diego, County	of					
Encina Plant	74.0	6 . B	Municipal, industrial	Primary: bar screen, comminutor, aaratad grit chamber, sedimentation tank, chlorina - tion facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Pacific Ocean	Nona

(11) Includes since 1970 flows of Moulton Niguel Water District Plants Nos. 1-A and 2-A.

(12) Since October 1972 pert of Irvine Ranch Water District (13) Since July 1972 pert of San Diago Matropolitan System, Point Loma Plant (14) Parmanently closed June 1972, Now part of Point ⊾oma Plant

		SOUTI	I COAST/	AL HYDROLOGIC AREA		
Discharger	Popula- tion served (1000's)	Design capa- city (mgd)	Type of waste water	Treatment facilities	Place of dis- charge	Uses of reclaimed waste water
San Diego Cou	nty (Conti					
San Diego, County	of icontinue	ed)				
San Elijo Plant	17.0	2.0	Municipal	Primary: communitor, aerated grit chamber, sedimentation tank, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge centriluge.	Pacific Ocean	None
Santee County Wa District	ter 36.0	4,0	Municipal	Secondary: barminutor, primary clarifiers, aeretion tanks, finel clarifiers, oxidation pond, percolation beds, lakes, chlorination facilities, digester, and sludge beds.	Sycamore Canyon Creek	Recreation, landscape irri- gation, orna- mental lakes
U.S. Marine Corp Camp Pendleton	9,					
Plant No. 1	6.8	1.0	Municipal	Secondary: bar screen, grit chamber, primary clarifier, trickling filters, final clari- fier, holding pond, chlorination facilities, digester, and sludge beds.	Land	Recreation, ground water recharge
Plant No. 2	4.7	1. 2	Municipal	Secondary: bar screen, grit chamber, primary clarifier, trickling filters, final clarifier, holding ponds, chlorination fecilities, digester, and sludge beds.	Land	Recreation, gol course, irrigatio ground water recharge
Plant No. 13	6.5	1. 2	Municipal	Secondary: bar screen, grit chamber, sedu- mentation tanks, oxidation ponds, chlorination facilities, digester, and sludge beds.	Land	Ground water recharge
San Bernardino	County					
Big Bear City Community Servi District	4.0 ces	1.3	Municipal, industrial	Secondary; bar screen, barninutor, aerated grit chamber, aeration tank, sedimentation tank, oxidation pond, and chlorination facilities.	Lano	None
Celifornia Institut for Men	ion 45	1.3	Municipal, industrial	Secondary: bar screen, comminutor, pre-aeration tank, primary clarifier, aeration tanks, final clarifier, oxidation ponds, chlorination fecilities, digesters. and sludge beds.	Land	Crop irrigation
Chino Basin Munic Water District	np al					
Carl B. Masingale Tertiary Plant Ontario (15,	100.0	16.0	Municipal, industrial	Tertiary: comminutors, prit chamoers, primary clarifiers, pre-aeration tank, trickling filters, secondary clarifiers, flow stabilization ponds, chlorination facilities, digesters, sludge beds, bar screen, flocculation tanks, final clarifiers, and gravity filters.	Santa Ana River	Ground water recharge, polf course irrigatio industriel, recreation
Regionel Plant No. 2, Chino	25.0 (16)	3.0	Municipal	Secondary: bar screen, barminutor, primary clarifiers, aeration tanks, final clarifiers, oxidation pond, percolation beds, chlorination facilities, digesters, sludge thickener, and sludge beds.	Land	Crop irrigation
Regional Plant No. 3, Fontana (17)	220	2.5	Municipal	Primary; bar screen, primary clarifier, oxidation ponds, digester, sludge thickener and sludge beds.	Land	Crop (rrigation

(15) Incorporates Regional Plant No. 1 (formerly Cities of Ontario and Upland)
(16) Formerly City of Chino
(17) Formerly City of Fontana

		3001	H COASI	AL HYDROLOGIC AREA		
	Popula-	Design	Түре		Place	Uses of
Discharger	tion	capa-	of	Treatment facilities	of	reclaimed
_	served	city	waste		dis-	waste
	(1000's)	(mgd)	water		charge	water
San Bernardino	County (C	Continued)			
Colton, City of	22.0	5.4	Municipel, industrial	Secondary: primery clarifier, aeration tank, final clarifier, chlorination facilities,	Santa Ana River	None
				digesters, and sludge beds.		
Cucamonga Count Water District (1		20	Muni c ipal	Primary: stabilization ponds,	Land	None
Kaiser Steel Corpo ation, Fontana	or- 9.0	1.0	Municipal, industrial	Secondary: bar screen, comminutors, primary clarifier, trickling filters, final clarifier, chlorina- tion facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.		Industrial
Norton Air Force Base Plant 1264	1. 5	1, 1	Industri al	Secondary: bar screen, primary clarifier, surge tank, aeration tanks, final clarifier, neutralization chamber, waste storage tank, sludge beds, and evaporation pond.	Land	None
Redlands, City of	30.7	5.0	Municipal, industrial	Secondary: bar screen, barninutor, primary clarifiers, aeration tanks, final clarifiers, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Santa Ana River	None
Rialto, City of	320	4.0	Municipat	Secondary: bar screen, aerated grit chamber, primary clarifiers, aeration tanks, final clari- fiers, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Santa Ania River	None
San Bernardino, Ci	ty of					
Plant No. 1 (19	9) 75.0	8.0	Municipal, industrial	Secondary: bar screen, aerated grit chamber, primary clarifiers, trickling filters, final clarifier, sand filters, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Warm Creek	Golf course and landscape irrigation
Plant No. 2	85.0	28.0	Municipal, industrial	Secondary: comminutor, pre-aerated grit chamber, primary clarifier, aeration tank, final clarifier, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Santa Ana River	Golf course and landscape irrigation
Riverside Coun	ty					
Corona, City of (2)	0) 32.0	5.5	Municipal	Secondary: barminutor, grit chamber, primary clarifiers, aeration tanks, final clarifiers, percolation beds, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Land	None
Eastern Municipal District	Water					
Hemet-San Jaci Plant	ngo 25.0,	5.0	Municipal	Secondary: barminutors, aerated grit chamber, primary clarifier, aeration tanks final clarifiers, holding ponds, percolation beds, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Land	Crop irrigation ground water recharge, recreation
Sun City Plant	5.0	1.0	Municipal	Secondary: barminutor, aeration tanks, sedimentation tanks, percolation bads, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Land	None
Sunnymead Pla	nt 5,0	1.0	Municipal -	Secondary: barminutor, aeration tanks, sedimentation tanks, percoletion beds, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Land	Crop irrigation

x

• (18) Since July 1971 operated by Chino Municipal Water District (19) Plant closed November 30, 1972. All flows are handled by Plant No. 2.

(20) Includes City of Norco since October 10, 1972.

		500 11	I COAST	AL HYDROLOGIC AREA		
Discharger	Popula- tion served (1000's)	Design capa- city (mgd)	Type of waste water	Treatment facilities	Place of dis- charge	Uses of reclaimed waste water
Riverside Coun	ty (Contin	ued)				
Jurupe Community Services District		1.0	Mun:cipal	Secondary: primary clarifier, trickling filter, finel clarifier, chlorination facilities, percolation beds, digester, and sludge beds.	Santa Ana River	None
March Air Force Ba	ase					
Main Plant	4.7	10	Municipel, industrial	Secondary: comminutor primary clarifiers, trickling filters, final clarifiers, holding tank, chlorination facilities, digesters and sludge beds.	L an d	Crop irrigation
West Plant	2.6	1.0	Municipal	Secondary: bar screens, comminutor, grit chamber, primary clarifier, trickling filters final clarifier, holding tank, chlorination facilities, digester, and sludge beds.	Lend	Crop irrigation
Perris, City of	5.2	1.0	Muni cipal	Secondary; Imhoff tanks and oxidation ponds.	Land	None
Riverside, City of	140.0	25.0	Municipal, industrial	Secondary: bar screens, grit chambers, primary clarifiers, trickling filters (15 mgd), aeretion tanks (10 mgd), final clarifiers, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Santa Ana River	None
Rubidoux Communi Services District		1. 2	Muni c ip al	Secondary: grit chamber, primary clarifier, trickling filters, final clarifiers, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Santa Ana River	None

TABLE 9 WASTE WATER TREATMENT FACILITIES* SOUTH LAHON TAN HYDROLOGIC AREA

		0001	I LANUN	AN IN PROEVOIS ANEA	1	
	Popula-	Design	Туре		Place	Uses of
Discharger	tion	capa-	of	Transforment for sitistics	of	reclaimed
Discharger	served	city	waste	Treatment facilities		
					dis-	waste
	(1000's)	(mgd)	water	<u> </u>	charge	water
Mono County						
Mammoth County Water District	10.0	1.5	Municipal	Secondary: barminutor, primary clarifiers, aeration tanks, final clarifiers, sand filters, chlorination facilities, digester, and sludge beds,	Land	None
Inyo County						
Bishop, City of	3.5	2.0	Municipal	Secondary: bamninutor, grit chamber, sédi- mentation tanks, oxidation ponds, digesters, and şludge beds.	Land	Crop irrigation
Kern County						
Edwards Air Force Base	16.0	1.5	Municipal	Primary: bar screen, comminutor, grit chamber, sedimentation tank, oxidation pond, digesters, and sludge beds.	L an d	None
Ridgecrest Sanitatio District	on 13.0	1.0	Muni cip al	Secondary: bar screen, comminutor, sedi- mentation tank, oxidation ponds, digesters, and sludge beds.	Land	Crop irrigation
U.S.Nəval Weapor Center, China Lai		3.0	Municipal	Secondary: comminutors, grit chamber, primary clarifiers, aeration tank, final clarifier, oxidation ponds, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	L an d	Golf course irrigation
Los Angeles Co	unty					
Los Angeles Count County Sanitation						
District 14-	35.2	4.5	Municipal,	Secondary: ⁽¹⁾ comminutor, sedimentation	Land	Landscape
Lancaster Pla			industrial	tanks, exidation ponds, chlorination facilities,		irrigation,
			, ride other	digesters, and sludge beds.		recreation
District 20 - Palmdale Plan	18.5 t	3. 1	Municipal	Secondary: comminutor, sedimentation tanks, oxidation ponds, chlorination facili- ties, digesters, and sludge beds.	Land	Crop i rrigation
U.S. Air Force Plant,No.42, Palmdale	2.4	1.0	Municipal, industrial	Secondary: sedimentation tank, oxidation pond, digester, and sludge beds.	Land	None

Plants with a design capacity of 1.0 mgd or greater

⁽¹⁾ Has since June 1969 an advanced treatment design capacity of 0.5 mgd

	Popula			TAN HYDROLOGIC AREA	21	
Discharger	Popula- tion served (1000's)	Design capa- city (mgd)	Type of waste water	Treatment facilities	Place of dis- charge	Uses of reclaimed waste water
San Bernardino	County (C	Continued)			
Barstow, City of	18 . 0	4.5	Municipal	Secondary: comminutor, sedimentation tanks, aeration pond, oxidation ponds, chlorination facilities, digester, and incinerator.	L and	Recreation, ornamental lakes, landsca irrigation and industrial use within plant
George Air Force Base	10.0	1,5	Muni cipal	Secondary: comminutor, grit chamber, primary clarifier, trickling filter, final clarifiers, oxidation ponds, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Lənd	Golf course irrigation
Lake Arrowhead Sanitation District	6.5	1.7	Municipal	Secondary: comminutor, grit chamber, primary clarifiers, aeration tanks, final clarifiers, oxidation ponds, chlorination facilities, digester, and incinerator.	Land	Crop irrigation
U. S. Army, Fort Irwin	0.8	1.0	Municipal	Secondary: comminutor, primary clarifiers, oxidation ponds, chlorination facilities, digester, and sludge beds.	Land	None
U. S. Marine Corps Supply Center, Barstow	5					
Nebo Plant	4.6	1.0	Municipal, industrial	Secondary: comminutor, Imhofftanks, oxidation ponds, chlorination facilities, and sludge beds.	L an d	None
Yerm o Plant	2.5	1.0	Municipal	Secondary: bar screen, Imhoff tanks, trickling filter, sedimentation tank, oxidation ponds, and sludge beds.	Land	None
Victorville Sanitar District	y 120	1.0 (2)	Municipal	Secondary: oxidation ponds.	Land	None

TABLE 10 WASTE WATER TREATMENT FACILITIES* COLORADO DESERT HYDROLOGIC AREA

·		000			······································	
Discharger	Popula- tion served (1000's)	Design capa- city (mgd)	Type of waste water	Treatment facilities.	Place of dis- charge	Uses of reclaimed waste water
Imperial Count	Υ <u></u>					
Brawley, City of	15.8	4.0	Municipal	Primary: bar screens, comminutor, sedimentation tanks, digester, and sludge beds.	New River	None
Calexico, City o	f 12.B	2.0	Municipał	Secondary; primary clarifiers, aeration tank, final clarifier, digester, and sludge beds.	New River	None
El Centro, City o	f 22.0	5.0	Municipal	Secondary: comminutors, sedimentation tanks, oxidation ponds, digesters, and sludge beds.	Alamo River via Central Main Drain- age Canal	None
Imperiel, City of	3. 2	1.6	Municipal	Secondary: bar screen, sedimentation tanks, oxidation ponds, digesters, and sludge beds.	Land	None
U. S. Naval Air Facilities, El'C	1.0 entro	1.0	Municipal	Primary: raw sewage lagoons.	New River	None
San Bernardino	County					
Needles, City of	4.5	20	Municipal	Secondary: bar screen, primary clari- fiers, trickling filter, final clarifier, oxidation ponds, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Colorado River	Landscape irrigation and industrial use within plant
U. S. Marine Corr Base, Twentyni Palms						
Twentynine Paln Plant	ns 5 .0	2.5	Municipal	Secondary: bar screen, grit chamber, sedimentation tanks, oxidation ponds, chlorination facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	Land	None
Riverside Cou	nty					
Banning, City of	120	2. 2	Municipal ,	Secondary: bar screen, comminutor, grit chamber, primary clarifiers, trickling filter, final clarifier, oxidation ponds, chlori- nation facilities, digesters, and sludge beds.	L and	None
Blythe, City of	7.2	2.5	Municipal	Secondary: bar screen, sedimentation tank, oxidation ponds, digester, and sludge beds.	L' an d	None
Coachella Sanita Distri c t	ry 8.0	1.5	Municipal, industrial	Secondary: bar screen, comminutor, aeration tank, oxidation ponds, digesters, chlorination facilities, and sludge beds.	Land	Crop irrigation

* Plants with a design capacity of 1.0 or greater

TABLE 10 (Continued) WASTE WATER TREATMENT FACILITIES COLORADO DESERT HYDROLOGIC AREA

		<u> </u>	KADU DE	SERT HTDRULUGIC AREA	-	
Discharger	Popula- tion served (1000's)	Design capa- city (mgd)	Type of waste water	Treatment facilities	Place of dis- charge	Uses of reclaimed waste water
Riverside Coun	<u>ty</u> (Contin	ued)				
East Blythe County Water District	3.0	1.5	Municipal	Secondary: oxidation ponds	Land	None
Kaiser Steel Comporation, Eagle Mountain	N/ A	2.0	Industrial	Secondary: oxidation ponds and sludge beds.	Land	Process water
Palm Springs, City of	320	4. 2	Municipəl, industriəl	Secondary: bar screen, baminutors, primary clarifiers, trickling filters, digesters, oxidation ponds, chlorination facilities, digester, and sludge beds.	Land	Golf course and landscape irrigation, recre tion, ornamenta lakes, ground water recharge
Velley Senitary District	20.0	5.0	Municipəl,	Secondary: bar screen, grit chamber, primary clarifiers, aeration tanks, final clarifiers, oxidation and holding ponds, chlorination facilities, and sludge vacuum filter.	Salton Sea	Crop irrigation

ø

WASTE WATER TREATMENT FACILITIES* TABLE 11 SUMMARY

plants with Number of 35 (b) 4 (b) reuse 7 (1) 54 (b) ~ 9 2 0 0 £ 00 യയ 32 **с** 0 2 produced in W.Y. 1972-73 (1000 AF) Wasto water 1,115 1,311 1,147 22 9 യജ 3 2 80 149 47 0088 ₽ -Total Population (1000's) 8, 804 (b) 0.622 (b) served 40 1,350 165 197 88 0 0 72 5 4 743 468 9.073 1,443 18 (b) 68 (b) 50 110 (b) plants Number r 4 13 25 25 0 0 15 ŝ ω 14 đ Number of plants with 51 (a) (c) 33 (a) 8 (c) 8 (c) 15 (a) 31 (c) 12 (al reuse œ ო 2 ~ 9 0 0 e ŝ ß Waste water produced in W.Y. 197 2-7 3 (1000 AFI Secondary treatment 153 149 42 344 r 6 3 23 89 138 168 100 13 18 100 0 0 16 16 ω 19 Facilities Population (1000'8) served 64 88 40 92 0 3,750 1,350 5,526 3,869 1, 443 5,997 426 0 149 55 ŝ 8 8 68 S 14 (c) 47 (c) 94 (d) 25 (a) 14 (c) 57 (a) 30 (a) Nuinber plants 2 Ξ თ 23 0 0 1 8 1 5 ო 1 0 Number of plants with reuse 0 0 ო 0 -4 00 0 ŝ 0 0 00 0 0 4 Population Waste water sorved W.Y. 1972-73 (1000's) (1000 AF) Primary treatment 1<u>5</u> 0 15 0 962 ŝ 0 2 2 2 0 967 0 0 0 0 0 979 ٢ 986 **Coastal Hydrologic Area** 0 0 g 133 8,554 8, 596 8,762 0 0 1 0 42 0 0 16 1 8,704 0 ß Colorado Desert Hydrologic Area South Lahontan Hydrologic Area South Coastal Hydrologic Area plants Number ~ = 0 2 33 0 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 15 0 നജ **Total Southern California** Place of discharge Southern Central Saline water (d) Saline water (d) Land disposal Land disposal Surface water Land disposal Surface water Surface water Land disposel Surface water Surface water Land disposal Saline water Saline water Saline water Total Total Total Total Total

Waste water treatment plants with design capacity of 1.0 mgd or greater

Includes plant with tertiary treatment

Sum of primary and secondary treatment columns do not equal total column because two plants provide primary treatment only to a part of their waste water () () ()

Includes plant with partial tertiary treatment Includes Salton Sea, Alamo River and New River ିତ୍

TABLE 12 COMPARISON OF THE MAJOR WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANTS \mathbf{z}' WITH THE TOTAL TREATMENT PLANTS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA \mathbf{b}' 1972-73

Nudeolo -i o		lumber o ment pla		F	opulation served	n	Av	erage da flow	ily		ste wat roductio			Amount eclaime	
Hydrologic area	Total plants		ijor ants	Total plants	Maj plan		Total plants	Ma plar	jor its	Total plants	Ma pla	•	Total plants		jor nts
	No.	No.	%c/	1000's	1000's	%c/	mgd	mgd	%c/	1000 AF	1000 A	= %c/	1000 AF	1000 A	F %c/
Southern Central Coastal	42	13	31	. 387	325	84	37	32	86	42	36	86	5	3	60
South Coastal	160	68	43	10,819	10,622	98	1,189	1,170	98	1,332	1,311	98	77	70	91
South Lahontan	39	15	38	199	165	83	21	16	76	23	18	78	4	4	100
Colorado Desert	31	14	45	187	147	79	20	18	90	22	21	95	4	3	75
Total Southern California	272	110	40	11,592	11,259	97	1,267	1,236	98	1,419	1,386	98	90	80	89

a/ With design capacity of 1.0 or greater

b/ The 272 treatment plants producing 10,000 gallons per day or more during 1972 as reported in DWR Bulletin 68-73 "Inventory of Waste Water Production and Waste Water Reclamation Practices in California, 1973.

⊆/ Total within the hydrologic area.

TABLE 13 QUALITY OF WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT EFFLUENTS* SOUTHERN CENTRAL COASTAL HYDROLOGIC AREA

	Water		A 1	erage v	alues in	mg/I			Sodium	values
Waste water treatment plant	year(s)	so 4	CI	NO3	P04	В	тн	TDS	Percent	SAR
San Luis Obispo County										
California Men's Colony	65/67	70	420	18	19	0.3	440	1190	54	3.8
Morro Bay, City of	65/67	100	290	8	27	0.4	520	1040	39	3.8
Paso Robles, City of	65/67	130	320	16	25	1.2	250	1200	72	8.8
San Luis Obispo, City of	65/67	150	170	64	36	0.5	270	850	52	3.9
South San Luis Obispo County Sanitation District	66/67	180	190	5	56	0.6	380	1000	49	3.9
Santa Barbara County										
Carpinteria Sanitary District	65/67	300	400	80	28	1.6	480	1570	61	7.1
Goleta Sanitary District	65/67	220	270	6	44	1.1	370	1110	56	5.3
Laguna County Sanitation District	65/67	250	210	8	56	0.6	350	1110	51	5.1
Lompoc, City of	65/67	440	330	56	35	0.8	230	1440	73	9.4
Santa Barbara, City of	65/67	290	540	5	20	1.3	510	1700	63	7.9
Santa Maria, City of	65/67	430	210	10	-	0.4	570	1220	36	3.4
Santa Maria Airport	65/67	250	180	50	-	0.4	360	980	46	3.8
Vandenberg Air Force Base	63/64	310	220	4	36	0.6	160	970	73	9.1

* Plants with a design capacity of 1.0 mgd or greater

39

201	SOUTH COASTAL HYDROLOGIC AREA	HYDRO	LOGIC A	REA						
-	Water				Average		values in mg/	_	Sodium	values
Waste water treatment plant	y ear(s)	S0 4	ວ	коз	P04	8	TH	TDS	P ercent	SAR
Ventura County										
Camarillo Sanitary District	67/68	360	240	13	51	1.0	28Ö	1320	67	7.8
Camarillo State Hospital	67/68	350	280	39	16	0.4	410	1370	58	6.2
Moorpark County Sanitation District	68/69	330	140	г	ı	1.1	330	1080	51	5.1
Oak View Sanitary District	67/68	290	170	20	49	0.9	400	1070	45	3.7
Oxnard, City of	65/66	500	040	Т	29	3.3	740	2690	62	9.4
San Buenaventura, City of										
Ventura Wastewater Reclamation Facility (1)	64/65	590	380	140	37	1.2	530	1760	53	7.2
Seaside Flant (2)	64/65	320	370	N	32	1 .3	450	1740	57	6.1
Santa Paula, City of	67/68	390	280	49	28	0.8	460	1530	57	6.2
Simi Valley County Sanitation District (3)	67/68	360	180	33	77	0.8	140	1040	73	1.11
Thousand Oaks, City of										
Hill Canyon Plant	67/68	320	170	0	τţ	0.8	180	1100	57	8.2
Olsen Road Plant (4)	66/67	. 350	140	Ч	i	0.2	160	890	76	8.0

QUALITY OF WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT EFFLUENTS* TABLE 14

* Plants with a design capacity of 1.0 mgd or greater

Formerly: Eastside Plant
 Closed permanently January 31, 1974; all flows being handled by Ventura Wastewater Reclamation Facility

(3) Formerly: Sanitation Inc., Simi(4) Formerly: Ventura County Waterworks District No. 6

~

TABLE14 (Continued) QUALITY OF WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT EFFLUENTS SOUTH COASTAL HYDROLOGIC AREA	TABLE I	EI4 (Con TREATM AL HYDR	4 (Continued) EATMENT PLANT E HYDROLOGIC AREA	ANT EF Area	FLUEN	TS				
	Water				Average values in m	e value	s in mg/		Sodium	values
Waste water treatment plant	year(s)	so4	CI	NO3	P04	8	ТН	TDS	P ercent	SAR
Ventura County (Continued)										
U. S. Naval Construction Battalion Center, Port Hueneme (5)	62/63	1130	1060	0	0	1.5	1080	3620	65	12.7
Ventura Regional County Sanitation District										
Port Hueneme Plant (6)	65/67	720	190	5	38	J.3	870	1,800	37	3•3
Los Angeles County										
Burbank, City of	12/07	180	100	m	t	0	200	690	56	5
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District										
Tapia Plant	67/63	490	130	20	30	0.7	1t70	1260	50	4.3
Los Angeles, City of										
Hyperion Plant	65/66	200	230	0	20	0.9	240	930	ı	ı
Terminal Island	19/09	380	1070	7†	30	1.7	500	2650	ı	١
Los Angeles County, County Sanitation Districts of										
Joint Water Pollution Control Plant	T2/07	350	540	0	52	1.0	460	1,700	T.	7.l
District 21-Pomona Plant	63/69	100	120	9	34	0.8	210	630	51	3.3

(5) Since May 1971 part of Ventura Regional County Sanitation District, Port Hueneme
 (6) Formerly: City of Fort Fueneme

S	SOUTH COAST	I COASTAL HYDROLOGIC AREA	ROLOGIC	C AREA						
	Water				Averag	e valu	es in mg/	/1	Sodium	values
Waste water treatment plant	year(s)	so4	IJ	NO3	P04	8	тн	TDS	P ercent	SAR
Los Angeles County (Continued)										
Los Angeles County, County Sanitation Districts of (Continued)										
District 22-Miller Plant (7)	TL/0L	30	10	0	5	0.2	20	320	68	11.8
District 26-Saugus Plant	69/89	0/1	011	20	49	1.5	300	860	50	3.7
District 32-Valencia Plant	02/69	280	80	18	20	1.1	280	930	53	4.1
Long Beach Plant	72/73	190	190	7	747	0.6	230	006	65	6.2
Los Coyotes Plant	70/71	.34O	240	9	28	0.6	280	1200	65	7.0
San Jose Creek Plant	71/72	8	140	ŝ	33	0.6	230	680	56	4.1
Whittier Narrows Plant	69/69	οτι	100	7	31	0.7	180	590	55	3.8
Orange County										
Capistrano Beach Sanitary District	67/68	370	340	25	28	0.8	1400	1470	63	7.1
Irvine Ranch Water District	69/69	590	220	59	31	0,3	540	1540	53	4.6
Laguna Beach, City of	65/66	300	190	0	52	0.1	330	1220	63	6.1
Los Alisos Water District	0L/69	340	180	ŝ	I	0.7	350	0101	51	4.7

(7) Permanently closed June 1972. Now part of San Jose Creek Plant.

es.

	Water			N	Averag	Average values in mg/l	m ui si	1/	Sodium	Sodium values
Waste water treatment plant	year(s)	\$04	σ	N O3	P04	8	Ŧ	TDS	Percent	SAR
<u>Orange County</u> (Continued)										
Orange County, County Sanitation Districts of										
Plant No. 1	63/64	230	230	0	111	1.1	210	850	59	6.8
Plant No. 2	63/64	02	₽ ⁴ 70	0	55	1.0	. 220	666	57	8.1
Rossmoor Sanitation, Inc., Laguna Hills	65/67	360	200	39	30	0.5	1000	1000	47	3.8
San Clemente, City of	τ1/01	320	220	117	28	0.5	300	1060	33	3.3
San Juan Capistrano, City of	65/66	310	280	Ч	29	0.7	1400	1260	58	5.5
Seal Beach, City of	61/62	99	290	50	148	0.8	140	066	44	0.0
South Laguna Sanitary District	65/66	270	180	0	36	0.6	330	1160	61	5.5
<pre>U. S. Marine Corps Air Station, El Toro (8)</pre>	TL/0L	350	180	19	15	0.4	350	930	45	3.6
San Diego County										
Escondido, City of										
Hale Avenue Plant	17/07	oile	050	C	ני	6	350	0911	ц С	у ц

 \square

(8) Since October 1972 part of Irvine Ranch Water District

Notation yea(s) Sol CI NO3 Fod TH TDS P (Continue()) (Continue()) (Continue()) (S/66 100 330 15 24 0.5 160 1200 1260 Rey Plant $67/68$ 370 330 55 20 0.8 530 1260 Rey Plant $67/68$ 370 330 56 20 0.8 530 1260 Rey Plant $67/68$ 370 330 56 20 0.8 530 1260 ty of 370 130 56 20 0.8 530 1010 ty of 370 130 12 6 0.6 1490 ty of 370 120 120 120 120 1200 ty of 100 120 120 120 120 1490 ty of 100 120		Water				Average	e values	es in mg/	1,	Sodium	values
	HASIC MALC LICELEUT DIZUL	year(s)	50 4	ਹ	NO3	0	8	ТН	TDS	Percent	SAR
65/66 400 330 15 24 0.5 460 1200 $67/68$ 370 330 5 23 0.8 420 1260 $66/67$ 370 430 56 20 0.8 530 1260 $65/66$ 330 200 12 6 0.6 510 1940 $63/64$ 380 660 0 32 0.6 510 1940 $63/64$ 380 660 0 32 0.6 1490 110 $70/71$ 410 420 11 24 0.6 1490 1490 $70/71$ 270 340 0 27 0.6 1490 1490 $70/71$ $ 1600$ 1900 1900 $70/71$ 270 120 0.6 10.6 0.6 1490 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000	<mark>San Diego County</mark> (Continueà)										
65/66 400 330 15 24 0.5 460 1200 67/68 370 330 5 23 0.0 420 1260 66/67 370 130 1430 56 20 0.8 530 1560 65/66 330 200 12 6 0.6 370 1010 65/66 330 200 12 6 0.6 510 1940 63/64 380 660 0 32 0.6 460 1490 70/71 410 420 11 24 0.6 460 1940 70/71 270 340 0 27 0.6 460 950 70/71 270 340 0 27 0.6 460 950 950 65/67 290 160 7 33 1.10 950 950 61/62 130 210 14 0.6 40 950 950 950 65/67 150 20 10 <td< td=""><td>Oceanside, City of</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Oceanside, City of										
$67/66$ 370 330 5 2 2.3 0.6 420 1260 $66/67$ 370 430 56 20 0.8 530 1560 $65/66$ 330 200 12 6 0.6 370 1010 $65/66$ 330 200 12 6 0.6 510 1940 $63/64$ 380 660 0 32 0.6 510 1940 $70/71$ 4_10 4_20 11 24 0.6 460 1490 $70/71$ 270 340 0 27 0.6 330 1110 $70/71$ $ 1600$ $70/71$ 270 340 0 27 0.6 330 1110 $70/71$ $ 1600$ $70/71$ $ 70/71$ $ 70/71$ $ 70/71$ 290 160 735 0.6 1990 950 $65/67$ 290 10 0 0.2 0.6 190 950 $61/62$ 110 200 10 0.3 260 790 1000 $61/62$ 10 0.3 0.6 190 950 1000 $61/62$ 10	La Salina Plant	65/66	1400	330	15	24	0.5	1460	1200	54	5.3
66/67 370 430 56 20 0.8 530 1560 $65/66$ 330 200 12 6 0.6 370 1010 $63/64$ 380 660 0 32 0.6 510 1940 $70/71$ 410 420 11 24 0.6 1460 1490 $70/71$ 270 340 0 27 0.6 330 1110 $70/71$ 270 340 0 27 0.6 1490 950 $70/71$ $ 1600$ 950 $70/71$ $ 1600$ 950 1100 $70/71$ $ -$	San Luis Rey Plant	67/68	370	330	5	23	0.8	420	1260	54	5.3
$65/66$ 330 200 12 6 0.6 370 1010 $63/64$ 380 660 0 32 0.6 510 1940 $70/71$ 4_10 4_20 11 24 0.6 460 1490 $70/71$ 270 340 0 27 0.6 330 1110 $70/71$ 270 340 0 27 0.6 460 1490 $70/71$ 270 340 0 27 0.6 230 1110 $70/71$ 270 340 0 27 0.6 200 1490 $70/71$ $ 160$ 950 $70/71$ 290 160 7 35 1.0 950 160 950 160 950 160 950 150 150 100 100 100 100 100 100 <td>Pomerado County Water District (9)</td> <td>66/67</td> <td>370</td> <td>lt30</td> <td>56</td> <td>20</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>530</td> <td>1560</td> <td>56</td> <td>5.7</td>	Pomerado County Water District (9)	66/67	370	lt30	56	20	0.8	530	1560	56	5.7
65/66 330 200 12 6 0.6 370 1010 63/64 380 660 0 32 0.6 510 1940 70/71 410 420 11 24 0.6 460 1490 70/71 410 420 11 24 0.6 460 1490 70/71 270 340 0 27 0.6 160 1490 70/71 270 340 0 27 0.6 460 950 70/71 2 - - - - 1.6 1600 70/71 290 160 7 35 1.0 950 65/67 290 160 7 35 1.0 950 61/62 110 200 10 0.3 260 750 61/62 150 20 10 10 0.3 260 700	San Diego, City of										
63/64 380 660 0 32 0.6 510 1940 $70/71$ 410 420 11 24 0.6 460 1490 $70/71$ 270 340 0 27 0.6 330 1110 $70/71$ 270 340 0 27 0.6 330 1110 $70/71$ $ -$	Callan Plant (10)	65/66	330	200	12	<u>\</u> 0	0.6	370	1010	49	3.8
$70/71$ h_10 h_20 11 24 0.6 160 1490 $70/71$ 270 340 0 27 0.6 330 1110 $70/71$ $ 1600$ $70/71$ $ 1600$ $70/71$ $ 1600$ $70/71$ $ 1600$ $65/67$ 2900 160 7 35 1.0 640 950 $61/62$ 130 210 41 33 0.6 190 950 $61/62$ 110 200 10 0.3 260 750 1000	Point Loma Plant	63/64	380	660	0	32	0.6	510	0461	62	8.9
70/71 270 340 0 27 0.6 330 1110 $70/71$ - - - - - 1600 1600 $70/71$ - - - - - - 1600 $70/71$ - - - - - - 1600 $65/67$ 290 160 7 35 1.0 640 950 $61/62$ 130 210 41 33 0.6 190 950 $61/62$ 110 200 10 0.3 260 770 1000	Rancho Bernardo Plant	12/01	410	l420	ΤI	24	0.6	1460	1490	57	5.9
70/71 270 340 0 27 0.6 330 1110 $70/71$ - - - - - - 1600 1100 $70/71$ - - - - - - 1600 1100 $65/67$ 2900 160 7 35 1.00 6400 950 $61/62$ 130 210 41 33 0.6 190 950 $61/62$ 110 200 10 0.3 260 750 1000 $61/62$ 110 200 10 0.3 260 750 1000	San Diego, County of										
70/71 - - - - - 1600 65/67 290 160 7 35 1.0 640 950 61/62 130 210 41 33 0.6 190 950 61/62 110 200 10 10 0.3 260 750	Encina Plant	17/07	270	340	0	27	0.6	330	0111	54	6.0
65/67 290 160 7 35 1.0 640 950 61/62 130 210 41 33 0.6 190 950 61/62 110 200 10 10 0.3 260 750 61/62 150 280 0 0 01 10 0.3 260 750	San Elijo Plant	17/07	ı	i	ŧ	F	ł	ı	16c0	ı	ı
61/62 130 210 41 33 0.6 190 950 61/62 110 200 10 10 0.3 260 750	Santee County Water District	65/67	290	160	7	35	1.0	640	950	39	3.0
1 61/62 130 210 41 33 0.6 190 950 2 61/62 110 200 10 10 0.3 260 750 13 61/62 150 280 0 10 10 0.5 260 750	U. S. Marine Corps, Camp Pendleton										
2 61/62 110 200 10 10 0.3 260 750 13 61/62 150 280 0 01 0.5 260 750		61/62	130	210	1†1	33	0.6	190	950	57	4.8
13 (f) (f) 150 280 0 21 0.6 260 1000		61/62	011	200	10	10	0.3	260	750	54	4.2
	Plant No. 13	61/62	150	280	0	24	0.6	260	1090	55	6.3

Z

(9) Since July 1, 1972 part of San Diego Metropolitan System, Point Loma Plant(10) Permanently closed June 1972. Now part of Point Loma Plant

e,

TABLE 14 (Continued)	QUALITY OF WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT EFFLUENTS	SOUTH COASTAL HYDROLOGIC AREA
----------------------	--	-------------------------------

					Averag	e value	Average values in mg/l	_	Sodium	values
Waste water treatment plant	Water year(s)	504	σ	коз	P04	8	ТН	TDS	P ercent	SAR
San Bernardino County										
Big Bear City Community Services District	11/69	40	30	4	18	0.2	200	570	23	1.3
California Institution for Men	02/69	140	40	Ŝ	19	0.3	140	430	τη	2.5
Chino Basin Municipal Water District										
Carl B. Masingale Tertiary Plant, Ontario (11)	69/70	50	70	ч	30	0.7	170	460	F 4	3.1
Regional Plant No. 2, Chino (12)	02/69	70	6	IO	45	0.4	200	570	T†	3.1
Regional Plant No. 3, Fontana (13)	17/07	50	50	4	28	0.5	160	360	33	2.2
Colton, City of	67/68	70	9	ε	54	0.5	200	510	36	2.4
Cucamonga County Water District	02/69	30	0L	6	15	0.9	160	550	1 46	3.2
Kaiser Steel Corporation, Fontana	0L/69	30	50	30	ε	0.6	120	260	29	0.9
Norton Air Force Base	67/68	230	IO	N	ı	0.2	190	ηιο	25	1.2
Redlands, City of	69/70	60	90	ч	21	0.7	160	510	51	3.4
Rialto, City òf	TL/0L	60	50	14	23	1.0	150	420	1414	2.7

(11) Incorporates Regional Plant No. 1 (formerly Cities of Ontario and Upland)
(12) Formerly: City of Chino
(13) Formerly: City of Fontana

QUALITY OF WA	OF WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT EFFLUENTS SOUTH COASTAL HYDROLOGIC AREA	TREAT	4 (Continued) EATMENT PLANT HYDROLOGIC ARE	ANT EF	FLUEN	TS				
3	Water				Averag	e values	s in mg/		Sodium	values
waste water treatment plant	year(s)	\$04	ວ	NO3	PO4	ß	ΗT	TDS	Percent	SAR
San Bernardino County (Continued)]
San Bernardino, City of										
Plant No. l (1^{l_1})	69/70	80	6	19	54	0.5	180	540	44	2.7
Plant No. 2	02/69	80	70	7	48	0.6	180	520	Γħ	2.9
Riverside County										
Corona, City of	67/68	210	340	9	25	1.9	370	1230	53	5.9
Eastern Municipal Water District										
Hemet-San Jacinto Plant	67/68	130	130	37	39	0.6	230	730	52	4.1
Sun City Plant	67/68	310	170	37	25	0.7	320	950	52	4.4
Sunnymead Plant	10/71	260	160	7177	0	0.7	300	920	53	9.9
Jurupa Community Services District	67/68	120	170	7	75	0.6	310	800	140	3.3
March Air Force Base										
Main Plant	65/67	330	180	28	15	0.7	190	970	70	7.3
West Plant	65//67	340	200	38	¹⁴ 0	0.3	180	1020	73	8.1
Perris, City of	63/64	270	200	0	ı	0.4	350	1080	140	4.5
Riverside, City of	67/68	100	180	Ŋ	19	0.8	230	720	48	4.0
Rubidoux Community Services District	67/63	110	130	18	39	0.6	350	690	31	2.1
(14) Plant closed November 30, 1972. All	flows handled by Plant No.	led by I	Plant No	S.						

e*

	Water				Averag	e valu	es in mg	g/1	Sodium	values
Waste water treatment plant	year(s)	s04	СІ	NO3	PO4	В	ТН	TDS	Percent	SAR
Mono County										
Mammoth County Water District	N/A									
Inyo County										
Bishop, City of	64/65	10	20	0	17	0.3	60	200	46	2.1
Kern County										
Edwards Air Force Base	64/65	20	200	0	79	1.3	120	1060	82	13.0
Ridgecrest Sanitation District	64/65	20	110	0	39	1.1	80	580	73	7.7
U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station, China Lake	64/65	60	130	O	17	0.8	150	500	60	4.5
Los Angeles County										
Los Angeles County, County Sanitation Districts of										
District 14-Lancaster Plant	68/69	70	90	1	11	1.0	200	610	77	4.4
District 20-Palmdale Plant	66/67	60	60	5	40	0.7	100	530	43	4.6
U. S. Air Force Plant No. 42, Palmdale	N/A									
San Bernardino County										
Barstow, City of	70/71	250	200	3	35	0.9	240	1120	60	6.4
George Air Force Base	70/71	7 0	40	4	15	0.4	140	470	48	2.9
Lake Arrowhead Sanitation District	64/65	20	70	o	23	0.6	80	320	1,1,	2.7
U. S. Army, Fort Irwin	64/65	140	120	0	33	1.7	60	820	80	12.9
U. S. Marine Corps Supply Center, Ba	rstow									
Nebo Plant	69/70	270	260	5	9	1.6	240	1110	71	8.4
Yermo Plant	70/71	80	80	77	15	2.0	150	510	56	3.3
Victorville Sanitary District	70/71	10	40	11	38	0.6	50	360	57	5.6

TABLE IS QUALITY OF WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT EFFLUENTS* SOUTH LAHONTAN HYDROLOGIC AREA

* Plants with a design capacity of 1.0 mgd or greater

9
ш
يـ
9
₹

QUALITY OF WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT EFFLUENTS

COLORADO DESERT HYDROLOGIC AREA

					Average values in mg/l	values	in mg/l		Sodium	values
Waste water treatment plant	year(s)	s04	cı	N O3	P04	60	ΤH	TDS	Percent	SAR
Imperial County										
Brawley, City of	65/66	340	240	12	31	0.5	350	1020	61	6.2
Calexico, City of	65/66	80	150	13	58	0.7	260	980	99	6.9
El Centro, City of	65/66	500	1380	ŝ	14	1.0	1030	3510	62	10.8
Imperial, City of	63/64	360	320	ч	20	0.4	480	1220	50	4.6
U. S. Naval Air Station, El Centro	65/67	330	360	13	14	6.0	480	1390	54	5.5
San Bernardino County										
Needles, City of	65/66	530	h30	17	16	0.7	500	1710	62	7.7
U. S. Marine Corps Base, Twentynine Palms Twentynine Palms Plant	65/66	60	02	17	19	0.3	30	340	85	8.7
Riverside County										
Banning, City of	65/67	20	10	Г	37	0	1.50	180	10	0.3
Blythe, City of	65/67	190	260	С	23	0.5	300	1070	99	6.7
Coachella Sanitary District	65/66	100	100	N	31	0.3	100	540	77	8.7
East Blythe County Water District	65/66	120	190	4	22	0.6	300	870	57	5.0
Kaiser Steel Corporation, Eagle Mountain	64/65	110	011	0	I	ı	3	890	70	11.8
Palm Springs, City of	65/66	¹⁰	8	28	38	0.4	οττ	011	57	5.2
Valley Sanitary District	65/66	10	70	m	27	0.4	120	th30	64	4.4

* Plants with a design capacity of 1.0 or greater

e.

Appendix C

CRITERIA FOR USE OF RECLAIMED WATER

In the determination of an appropriate use for reclaimed waste water, the water quality criteria count heavily. Criteria for the most part are similar to those for fresh water and vary widely depending on the particular beneficial use. They are available for domestic, agricultural, industrial, landscape irrigation, recreational, and aquatic habitat uses, and they are couched in terms of mineral, nutrient, and public health (which includes bacterial and toxic substances) parameters.

Quality criteria are expressed in terms of mineral and bacterial content. Mineral criteria are generally permissive or guideline values, while bacterial criteria established to protect the public health are mandatory. The State Department of Health is now developing reliability criteria as well.

Domestic Water Use

The use of reclaimed waste water for domestic purposes is under the jurisdiction of the public health agencies. Within California, these responsibilities reside in the State Department of Health. It has been the consistent position of health authorities to require the use of protected water sources and the best available quality of water for domestic purposes and to regard the use of reclaimed waste water for domestic purposes as not a safe or acceptable practice. There are a number of water quality factors involved, including pathogenic organisms, heavy metal toxicants, and stable organics, along with other considerations such as public acceptance, which make the use unacceptable. A principal concern is the lack of information on the longterm effects on human health of stable organic material in waste water.

Although the State Department of Health has established no reclaimed waste water criteria for domestic uses, it has prepared and circulated a policy statement which declares the direct mingling of reclaimed waste water into a domestic water system and the direct injection of reclaimed waste water into aquifers used for domestic purposes as unacceptable.

Ground water recharge by surface spreading is proceeding on a case by case basis. Generally, if the amount of reclaimed waste water to be spread is a small fraction of the water in the ground water basin, the projects proceed.

Agricultural Water Use

Criteria for agricultural water use have been established for mineral content and for protection of public health. The mineral quality criteria were promulgated from work performed by the University of California -Committee of Consultants. The criteria presented in Table 17 set guidelines for permissible limits for total dissolved solids (TDS), chlorides, sodium (as evaluated by the sodium adsorption ratio (SAR)), boron, nitratenitrogren, bicarbonate, and pH.

Care should be exercised in using these values, because they vary widely depending upon the crops, soils, and climatic conditions. Generally, as the concentration of TDS in irrigation water increases (provided the amount of water applied remains constant), crop yields become less until plants

TABLE 17 GUIDELINES FOR INTERPRETATION OF QUALITY OF WATER FOR IRRIGATION*

OBLEM AND RELATED CONSTITUENT	WATER Q	UALITY GUIDELI	NES
Salinity 1/	No Problem	Increasing Problems	Severe
ECw of irrigation water, in millimhos/cm	<0.75	0.75 - 3.0	> 3.0
Permeability			
EC _w of irrigation water, in mmho/cm	>0.5	< 0.5	< 0.2
SAR 2/	<6.0	6.0 - 9.0	>9.0
Specific lon Toxicity 3/			
from ROOT absorption			
Sodium (evaluate by SAR)	< 3	3.0 - 9.0	>9.0
Chloride (me/l)	<4	4.0 - 10	>10
(mg/1)	< <u>142</u>	142 - 355	>355
Boron (mg/1)	< 0.5	0.5 - 2.0	2.0 - 10.0
from FOLIAR absorption 4/ (sprinklers)			
Sodium (me/1)	<3.0	>3.0	
(mg/1)	$^{<}69$	$>_{69}$	
Chloride (me. ⁴)	<3.0	3.0	
(mg/1)	< 106	>106	
Miscellaneous 57			
$\left. \begin{array}{c} \mathrm{NH_{4^{-N}}}\\ \mathrm{NO_{3^{-N}}} \end{array} \right\}$ (mg/1) for sensitive crops	$<_5$	5 - 30	>30
HCO3 (me/1) [only with overhead] (mg/1) [sprinklers]	< <u>1.5</u>	1.5 - 8.5	28.5
(mg/l) [sprinklers]	< 90	90 - 520	$^{>}520$
pH	normal range =	6.5 - 8.4	

Interpretations are based on possible effects of constituents on crops and/or soils. Guidelines are flexible and should be modified when warranted by local experience or special conditions of crop, soil, and method of irrigation.

1/ Assumes water for crop plus needed water for leaching requirement (LR) will be applied. Crops vary in tolerance to salinity. Refer to tables for crop tolerance and LR. mmho/cm X 640 = approximate total dissolved solids (TDS) in mg/1 or ppm; mmho X 1000 = micromhos.

2/ SAR (Sodium Adsorption Ratio) is calculated from a modified equation developed by U. S. Salinity Laboratory to include added effects of precipitation and dissolution of calcium in soils and related to CO₃+ HCO₃ concentrations.

To evaluate sodium (permeability) hazard:

SAR =
$$\frac{\text{Na}}{\sqrt{\frac{\text{Ca} + \text{Mg}}{2}}}$$
 [1 + (8.4-pHc)]

pHc is a calculated value based on total cations, Ca+Mg, and CO_3 +HCO₃. Calculating and reporting will be done by reporting laboratory. NOTE: Na, Ca+Mg, CO₃+HCO₃ should be in me/L

Permeability problems, related to low EC or high SAR of water, can be reduced if necessary by adding gypsum. Usual application rate per acre foot of applied water is from 200 to about 1000 lbs. (234 lbs. of 100% gypsum added to 1 acre foot of water will supply I me/l of calcium and raised the ECw about 0.1 mmho). In many cases a soil application may be needed.

. 3/ Most tree crops and woody ornamentals are sensitive to sodium and chloride (use values shown). Most annual crops are not sensitive (use salinity tolerance tables). For boron sensitivity, refer to boron tolerance tables.

- 4/ Leaf areas wet by sprinklers (rotating heads) may show a leaf burn due to sodium or chloride absorption under lowhumidity, high-evaporation conditions. (Evaporation increases ion concentration in water films on leaves between rotations of sprinkler heads.)
- Excess N may effect production or quality of certain crops, e.g. sugar beets, citrus, avocados, apricots, grapes, etc. $(1 \text{ mg/1NO}_3\text{-N} = 2.72 \text{ lbs. N/acre foot of applied water})$. HCO₃ with overhead sprinkler irrigation may cause a white carbonate deposit to form on fruit and leaves. 5/

Symbol	Name	Symbol	Name	Equiv. Wt.
ECw	Electrical Conductivity	Na	Sodium	23.00
mmho/em < >	Millimhos per centimeter less than more than	Ca Mg CO3	Calcium Magnesium Carbonate	20.04 12.16 30.00
mg∕l ppm LR	milligrams per liter parts per million Leaching Requirement	HCO3 NO3-N Cl	Bicarbonate Nitrate-nitrogen Chloride	$61.00 \\ 14.00 \\ 35.45$
meq/1 TDS	milliequivalents per liter Total Dissolved Solids	OI	emonue	

* From the University of California - Committee of Consultants

can no longer tolerate the salinity and they die.

In addition to the mineral criteria, the Department of Health has established criteria for irrigating produce, fodder, fiber, seed, processed food crops, and pasture for milking animals. These standards are contained in Table 17, Public Health, of the State Administrative Code, Sections 8041-8045 and are summarized in Table 18*.

Industrial Water Use

Water quality criteria for the different industrial uses are diversified, because of the numerous processes within the various types of industries. Some of

*Currently under revision as "Statewide Reclamation Criteria for Irrigation and Recreational Impoundment". This revision will also include reliability criteria against which waste water reclamation projects will be judged for acceptability.

Сгор	Type of irrigation	E ffluen t requi rements	Coliform count MPN per 100 ml**	
Produce	Spray	Adequately disinfected, oxidized, coagulated filtered waste water	2.2	
	Surface	Adequately disinfected oxidized waste water	2.2	
Orchards and vineyards	Surface	At least primary effluent	Not set	
	Spray	Adequately disinfected, oxidized, coagulated filtered waste water	2.2	
Fodder, fiber and seed	Surface and spray	At least primary effluent	Not set	
Food (processed)	Surface	At least primary effluent	Not set	
	Spray	Adequately disinfected oxidized waste water	23	
Pasture (for milking animals)	Surface and spray	Adequately disinfected oxidized waste water	23	

TABLE 18 RECLAMATION CRITERIA FOR IRRIGATION USE*

* From California Administrative Code, Title 17, Sections 8041-8045

** MPN per 100 ml--most probable number of coliform organisms per 100 milliliter. Bacteriological standards are median values not to be exceeded. the industrial uses for which reclaimed waste water is being used are described below.

Cooling Water

Water is increasingly being used in industry for cooling purposes, especially for generating plants. The standard method employed has been to return the water to river, lake, or ocean, or waste it after its use for cooling. But thermal pollution and shortage of water supply have made other methods necessary. One is utilization of a closed circuit system - in one portion absorbing heat, thereby cooling part of the process or product, and in the other portion discharging heat through the use of cooling towers, spray, or cooling ponds. In such a system, only the amount of water lost by evaporation during the cycle has to be replaced. Some of the problems connected with cooling systems using reclaimed waste water, such as corrosion, scaling, sludge deposits, microbial and algal growth, wood delignification, and excessive foaming, can be controlled by advanced waste water treatment. Limits on turbidity and mineral constituents in cooling water have been suggested by McKee and Wolf in a study for the State Water Resources Control Board (Table 19).

Boiler Water

For use of reclaimed waste water in boilers the criteria are intricate with mainly the boiler pressure determining the quality (especially TDS) of water needed. The greater the pressure, the lower the TDS content should be. Scale forming constituents, like silica and aluminum, should also be minimal.

Process Water

Experience has demonstrated that reclaimed waste water can be successfully

utilized in industrial plant processing. For example, Baltimore's reclaimed waste water has been used for process purposes by Bethlehem Steel Corp. for more than 20 years. Also, at the Kaiser Steel Corp. Fontana Plant, reclaimed waste water is being utilized for cooling and process water.

Allowable limits for mineral concentrations and physical properties for steel and textile manufacturing and for paper production are given in Table 19. Reclaimed waste water would also be suitable for several steps of the processes in the copper and aluminum industries.

Landscape Irrigation Water Use

The mineral criteria for landscape irrigation are the same as those for agricultural use (Table 18). However, the mandatory health standards require that the effluent be an adequately disinfected, oxidized waste water with a median coliform count not to exceed 23 MPN per 100 ml (Section 8046, Title 17, Public Health, State Administrative Code).

Recreational Water Use

Only mandatory health criteria apply to reclaimed water to be used for recreational pursuits. These are specified, in Sections 8047-8049, Title 17, Public Health, State Administrative Code (Table 20).

Aquatic Habitat Water Use

When waste water is adequately treated it may be used to augment streamflows to protect fish and other aquatic organisms. The Department of Fish and Game has suggested the water quality. criteria contained in Table 21.

TABLE 19 a LIMITS OF MINERAL CONCENTRATIONS AND PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF WATER FOR VARIOUS INDUSTRIAL USES (Allowable limits, in parts per million except as noted)

					Manufa	cturing	Production of paper	
Constituent or property	bo	iler feed v iler press ds per squ 150 - 250	ure in	Cooling water	Steel	Textile	Ground wood f	Soda and sulfate pulp g
Total solids	3000 - 500 b	2500- 500 b	1500- 100 b					
pH value	8.0 C	8.4 ^C	9.0 ^c	7-9	6.8 - 7.0	7.8-8.3		
Chlorides (Cl)					175	100	75	75
Iron (Fe)		~-		0.5	175	100	0.3	0.1
Manganese (Mn)	~-			0.5		0	0.3	0.05
Iron and manganese				0.5		0	011	
(Fe + Mn)				0.5	~-	0		_ ~
Suspended matter				0.5				
Temperature, ^o F					25			
Turbidity	20	10	5	50	75			
				50			30 h	5 h
Color	80	40	5				30 "	5
Dissolved oxygen	1.44	0,14 d	0.0 d					
Hydrogen sulfide (H ₂ S)	5	3 ^e	0 ^e					
Total hardness (CaCO ₃) Sulfate → carbonate	80	40	10	50	50	55	200	100
ratio (ASME) (Na ₂ SO ₄ : Na ₂ CO ₃)	1:1	2:1	3:1					
Aluminum oxide (Al ₂ O ₃)	5	0.5	0.05					~
Silica (SiO ₂)	40	20	5		-~			
Bicarbonaté (HCO ₃)	50 d	30 d	5 d				50	20
Carbonate (CO ₂)	200	100	40					
lydroxide (OH)	50	40	30					
Chemical oxygen demand	15	10	4					
fotal dissolved solids							500	250
Free carbon dioxide (CO2)		~-	~-				10	10
Sulfite (SO ₂)			~~					
Alkaunity					_ ~		150	75
leavy metals	~-							
Calcium (Ca)								
Aagnesium (Mg)	~-							
Sulfate (SO4)								
urbidity as SiO2			~-	50 ·			50 i	25 1
Silica (soluble as SiO ₂) Calcium hardness as							50	20
CaCO3								50
Aagnesium hardness								
as CaCO3								50
Corrosion potential					Low as possible			

a. California State Water Resources Control 80 ard. "Water Quality Criteria". Publication No. 3-A, April 1971.

b. Depends on design of boiler.

c. Minimum

d. Limits applicable only to feed water entering boiler, not to original supply.

e. Except where odor in live steam would be objectionable.

f. Groundwood papers are coarse papers composed primarily of groundwood fibers such as used for newspapers, telephone directories, cheaper grades of catalogues, and pulp magazines.

g. Pulps produced by chemical cooking processes known as the soda process and the sulfate or kraft process are also known as alkaline pulps.

h. Color in platinum units.

j. Materials causing turbidity shall not be gritty.

Type of impoundment	Reclaimed water	Disinfection requirements in MPN of coliform per 100 ml
Nonrestricted recreational**	Adequately disinfected, oxidized, coagulated, filtered waste water	2.2
Restricted recreational	Adequately disinfected oxidized waste water	2.2
Landscape	Adequately disinfected, oxidized waste water	23.0

TABLE 20 RECLAMATION CRITERIA FOR RECREATION WATER*

* From California Administrative Code, Title 17, Sections 8047-8049

** Nonrestricted recreation involves water-contact activities

TABLE 21 SUMMARY OF EFFLUENT AND RECEIVING WATER LIMITATIONS FOR PROTECTION OF FISH AND AQUATIC RESOURCES*

Constituent	Recommended limitation	Degree of protection
Toxicity concentration (Tc)	0.64 TU**	90% survival of test species in 100% (undiluted) effluent
Total residual chlorine	0.002 mg/1	Receiving water limit - protect salmanoid and sensitive food organisms
Undissociated ammonia	0.2 mg/1	Receiving water limit
pH value	6.5 to 8.0	Effluent limit
Turbidity	20% increase over background level	
Settleable solids	20% increase over background level, or 0.2 mg/l	
Temperature	Maximum 5º F change	
Dissolved oxygen	Warmwater fishery 5.0 mg/l Coldwater fishery 7.0 mg/l	
Toxicants	No pesticides, radioactive material	

* From California Department of Fish and Game

** TU = toxicity unit

Activated sludge process is a treatment process that removes (by biological assimilation and decomposition) organic matter from waste water using a biologic floc in an aerobic environment.

Advanced treatment processes remove or reduce nutrients, dissolved solids, suspended or colloidal solids, toxic matter, and other constituents, using any physical, chemical, or biological process. Advanced treatment has come to mean any process added to the traditional primary-secondary treatment plant or to recently developed innovative processes not characteristic of recent practice.

Aeration tank is a chamber in which air is injected into waste water.

<u>Algae</u> are microscopic plants that grow in sunlit water that contains phosphates, nitrates, and other nutrients. Algae are food for small aquatic animals and, like all plants, add oxygen to the water. They are important in the fish-food chain in some instances.

Bacteria are microscopic unicellular organisms which consume organic constituents in waste water.

<u>BOD (biochemical oxygen demand)</u> is the dissolved oxygen required by organisms for the aerobic decomposition of organic matter in a standard laboratory test.

<u>Chlorinator</u> is a device for adding chlorine gas to waste water to kill pathogenic organisms.

<u>Clarifiers</u> are sedimentation tanks used to remove settleable solids in waste water treatment. They are used to partially clarify raw sewage following biological treatment.

<u>Coagulation</u> is the clumping together of solids to force them to settle out of waste water more quickly. Coagulation of solids is brought about through the use of certain chemicals, e.g., lime, alum, and iron salts. Coagulation is also brought about in biological treatment.

<u>Comminutor</u> is a device for shredding heavy solid matter in the pretreatment stage of waste treatment.

<u>Controlled reuse</u> is the use of reclaimed water under legal and physical control or restraint.

<u>Degrees of treatment</u> refer to the reduction and removal of undesirable constituents in waste water. In general, the degrees are termed primary, secondary, and tertiary.

<u>Direct reuse</u> is use of reclaimed waste water directly from a reclamation facility without passing through a natural body of either surface or ground water.

Disinfection is the destruction of most disease-causing (i.e., infectious) organisms, as contrasted with sterilization, which is the destruction of all living organisms.

Dissolved solids in waste water are the minerals, salts and other chemicals which are dissolved in water as it passes through the air, over and underground, and through municipal, industrial, agricultural and other uses.

Effluent is the liquid product of a treatment unit, plant, or facility.

<u>Imhoff tank</u> is a two-story tank for clarification of sewage, consisting of an upper sedimentation chamber with a sloping floor leading to slots through which solids settle to a lower digestion chamber.

Indirect reuse is the use of treated water after it has been discharged to a body of natural water.

Industrial wastes are liquid or solid waste substances, not sewage, from any producing, manufacturing, or processing operation.

<u>Influent</u> is the waste entering a waste water treatment plant or treatment unit.

<u>Interceptor sewers</u> are used in separate sewage systems to collect the flows from main and trunk sewers and carry them to the point of treatment. In a combined system they also may restrict or limit the flow of waste water to the treatment plant. In case of a sudden surge of water into the sewers, some of the waste water may be diverted directly into a receiving stream, thus protecting the treatment plant from overload.

Most probable number per 100 milliliters is the statistically determined estimate of the number of organisms present in 100 milliliters of sample.

Organic wastes are wastes derived from plant or animal matter originating from domestic or industrial sources.

Oxidation is the breakdown of organic wastes or chemicals in waste water by bacterial or chemical processes in the presence of oxygen.

Oxidation ponds are manmade bodies of water in which wastes are consumed by bacteria as well as by oxygen from the atmosphere, generally with the aid of algae.

<u>Planned reclaimed water use</u> is the deliberate direct or indirect use of treated waste water.

<u>Pollution</u>, according to California Water Code, Section 13050 (1), "means an alteration of the quality of the waters of the state by waste to a degree which unreasonably affects: (1) such waters for beneficial uses or (2) facilities which serve such beneficial uses. 'Pollution' may include 'contamination.'" Primary treatment involves physical processes for removal of settleable solids and floating matter by screening, skimming, and sedimentation.

<u>Reclaimed water</u> is water that, "as a result of treatment of waste, is suitable for a direct beneficial use or a controlled use that would not otherwise occur." (California Water Code, Section 13050 (n).)

<u>Recycling</u> is the direct reuse of water, without treatment, at the same general location or for the same purpose.

Reuse means the additional use of once-used water.

<u>Reverse osmosis</u> is the process of demineralization using a semipermeable osmotic membrane under pressure.

<u>Salts</u> are the minerals which water picks up as it passes through the air, over and underground, and through municipal, industrial, agricultural and other uses.

<u>Sand filters</u> remove suspended solids from waste water as it filters through the sand to produce clarified water which drains from the sand bed. Bacteria may develop in some types of sand filters and aid in the clarification process.

<u>Secondary treatment</u> is the biological process of reducing suspended, colloidal, and dissolved organic matter from the effluent from primary treatment systems. Secondary treatment is usually carried out through the use of trickling filters or by the activated sludge process.

Sedimentation tanks are used to remove a portion of the solids from waste water where the solids settle to the bottom or float on top. The floatables are skimmed off the top, while the solids on the bottom are collected and pumped to digestion tanks, following which they may be processed by filtration, and sometimes incineration, before final disposal.

<u>Sewage</u> includes all substances, liquid or solid, associated with human activities, domestic, commercial, and industrial. For this report, the term sewage applies to waterborne wastes collected and treated by communities.

Sewerage is the apparatus for the collection, transportation and pumping of waste water.

<u>Sludge</u> is the semi-solid matter that settles to the bottom of sedimentation tanks or clarifiers. It is sufficiently liquid in character to be pumped.

Stabilization ponds are man-made bodies of water which provide the removal and digestion of settleable solids and floating matter.

<u>Stable organics</u> are organic compounds which remain in waste water, generally in minute quantity, after presently used treatment processes and which may pose a threat to health. <u>Suspended solids</u> are small particles of solid pollutants that are present in waste waters and may be separated by sedimentation aided by chemical and biological treatment and sometimes filtration.

<u>Tertiary treatment</u> is additional treatment to improve the quality of the effluent from secondary treatment systems.

<u>Trickling filter</u>, usually a bed of stones, is a support medium for bacterial growth. Waste water is trickled over the bed so that the bacteria can break down and assimilate the organic wastes.

Virus is the smallest known form of microorganism capable of causing disease.

<u>Waste water</u> is the used water, liquid waste, and drainage of a community, industry, or institution.

<u>Waste water reclamation</u> is the process of treating waste water to produce water for beneficial uses, its transportation to the place of use and its actual use.

Water year is in California a year beginning October 1 and ending September 30 of the next year. For example, water year 1966-67 begins October 1, 1966, and ends September 30, 1967.



e

- Sec. . .

.

.



	-	• <u>A</u> 2
100	13	- Vite

THIS BOOK IS DUE ON THE LAST DATE STAMPED BELOW

ARE	SUBJ	CT TO	RECA	LL AFT	IER BOR ER ONE JBJECT	WEEK.
A	HYS S	2 1981 SCI LIB	RARY			
 Other Diversion of the second s	APR	2 05 1 MAR		1993	A Grant and the second of the	
LIB	RARY,	UNIVER	SITY C	DF CALI	FORNIA,	DAVIS (12/76)



The second s

